

OCTOBER 22, 1990

7:30 p.m.

Council Chambers

Present: Rita Matheny, Mayor
Councilmembers:
 Ken Baxter, Mayor Pro Tem
 Dave McGee (excused)
 Dave Weiser
 Donna Pedersen
 Donna Wright
 Bob Lashua (excused)
 Lee Cundiff
Administrative Staff:
 Carolyn Sanden, City Administrator
 Phil Dexter, Finance Director
 Dave Zabell, Public Works Director
 Jim Allendoerfer, City Attorney
 Mike Corcoran, City Planner
 Larry Wade, Engineering Consultant
 Wanda Iverson, Recording Secretary

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor Matheny called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and led the flag salute.

ROLL CALL:

City Clerk/Finance Director Dexter called the roll with all members present/absent as indicated above.

MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

Councilor Wright noted in the minutes of the 10/8/90 meeting, the words "for approval" should be added after "would have to go to the DOE" in the last sentence of the first paragraph on page 2. She also noted that on page 6, Item 3 at the top of the page should read "conflicts with employment" not "conflicts with employer" and on page 7, in the 6th paragraph, "and church" should be deleted in the last sentence of that paragraph, with the sentence ending at the word "cemetery".

Councilor Pedersen moved that the minutes of the 10/8/90 meeting be approved as corrected. Councilor Cundiff seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION FOR NON-AGENDA ITEMS:

None.

PRESENTATIONS:

None.

PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS:

None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. Ordinance Relating to Water Conservation.

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that the draft ordinance in the packets has been updated and he had available additional copies of the updated version. He noted that the State has recently passed Title 35 which encourages cities to conserve water and the proposed ordinance has been as a result of that as well as to assist with the Marysville water and sewer situation right now. He said there are basically three approaches involved:

1. Public education;
2. City to approve some free of charge items for retrofitting existing plumbing fixtures;
3. Economic incentives for retrofitting.

He noted that the tradeoff for paying out incentives is less need for water and sewer services. He then reviewed the punitive part of the draft ordinance, noting 5 restrictions and the enforcement section regarding violations. He also noted that the draft ordinance contains a no growth rule as well as a 125% of the previous year's use limitation. All new customers must have low flush toilets (3.5 gals.) installed and in 1993, ultra low flush (1.6 gals.), he added, including restrictive/low use faucets.

Dave Aldrich, 5928 97th St. NE, addressed Council, stating he was overwhelmed and very appreciative of this effort towards water conservation. He said he describes the proposed ordinance as very comprehensive, thorough and broad based and applauds the City's foresight and responsibility. He did ask if under 14.09.020 - Assistance Offered by City to Water Customers - if the word "may" couldn't be changed to "shall" or "will". As far as the use of toilet tank bags, he said he would prefer to see that phrase eliminated because he has heard they do not work well. He said he was in favor of the economic incentive section as well as the punitive measures section. In his assessment of the performance standards, however, he suggested that the City might want to include that in the event of an emergency, ultra low flush toilets should be required to be installed. This could also be a requirement for new customers hooking up to water and sewer.

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that the requirements have been put in the City's utility ordinance rather than the Plumbing Code. He said the State code says "shall supersede all local codes".

Mr. Aldrich asked about the no net increase incentive and City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that is included in the sewer ordinance as a temporary measure for reducing and/or maintaining the amount of sewer use and he pointed out that there's not much more you can do once 6000 homes are retrofitted. He added that this would be the developer's responsibility for new homes and in the case of existing residences, there probably are a lot of them not willing to retrofit existing plumbing fixtures.

Mr. Aldrich asked about the distribution by the City of free kits and City Administrator Sanden responded that the City has been investigating some of these ideas and needs to explore this further.

Mike Papa, 9120 58th Dr. NE, addressed Council and asked what the minimum water and sewer rates are, in reference to 14.09.030 - Economic Incentives.

City Attorney Allendoerfer stated for two months, a single family residence in the City pays \$8.80, outside the City \$16.50 (water) and for sewer - 2 mos. rate within City limits - \$13.20 and outside City limits - \$19.50.

Mr. Papa asked for the definition of a "unit" and City Attorney Allendoerfer said a "unit" is each "home".

No one spoke against the proposed ordinance from the audience.

Councilor Pedersen asked if the City can't require ultra low flush prior to 1993, if developers could be given an incentive if they wished to install them prior to 1993 and City Attorney Allendoerfer said yes.

Councilor Baxter asked if commercial and business uses could be included, specifically to do with retrofitting of fixtures in their employee restrooms, for example. City Attorney Allendoerfer said yes, the wording could be changed to include this.

Councilor Wright said she had spoken with someone recently from a city in California where they had just gone through a retrofitting program and they are now stuck with the problem of how to get rid of thousands and thousands of used toilets and sinks. She said that certainly would present a concern for Marysville, also.

Councilor Weiser asked about the terminology referring to public health and safety hazards under "Water Use Restrictions" and City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that direct hosing down of sidewalks, walkways, driveways, parking lots, patios and other exterior paved areas would only be allowed to remove a flammable liquid, eg. and normally, you would just have to use a bucket of water and broom. He also pointed out that lawn sprinkling at places of business has been allowed during the day because they don't generally have someone on site in the evening.

Councilor Weiser asked if this would apply to the golf course and it was pointed out that they already sprinkle at night so as not to interfere with golfers. Councilor Baxter pointed out that no sprinkling should be allowed during the day because the water just evaporates at that time, anyway. It was the consensus that the wording allowing businesses to sprinkle lawns during the daytime should be changed so they are included in the same restriction as residences. It was also the consensus that businesses would be included as far as allowing an incentive for use of ultra and/or low flush toilets and retrofitted faucets.

City Administrator Sanden also pointed out that instead of a percentage penalty, a flat rate may be more appropriate because of the differential in City and County water/sewer rates.

Councilor Wright said she had also heard that toilet tank bags are not very effective but City Attorney Allendoerfer pointed out that the proposed ordinance uses the wording "the City may require the use of....."

Councilor Baxter asked about the 125% rule and how this would apply to a new customer. City Attorney Allendoerfer stated that the water superintendent could rule on that. He added that this rule is in reverse of most water conservation ordinances, for example, in L.A., every homeowner must use only 80% of what they used the previous year! He suggested the alternative of using the excess charge for excess use (\$.85 per 1000 gallons).

Finance Director Dexter pointed out that the City is paying PUD \$.76 per 1000 gallons. He noted that the enforcement of the 125% rule is not very practical in the case of renters and the billing system would have to be changed to enable billing of owners only. Also, in the case of two or three readings in the same period, this can cause problems (where there are two or three tenants), he said, and the City is going to have to develop a whole new billing system for consumption monitoring, etc., if this ordinance is passed. He added that "public postings" would involve 8,000 accounts.

City Attorney Allendoerfer pointed out that billing absentee landlords for a tenant overusing water in Marysville will not be effective and it was the consensus that he would redraft the ordinance and bring it back before Council at next meeting. Councilor Pederesen said she would also be interested in a financial incentive workup from staff regarding contractors and developers installing retrofitted and/or water saving fixtures.

2. Watershed Management Area.

Rescheduled to 11/13/90.

CONSENT AGENDA:

1. 10/22/90 Claims Checks 21702 through 21860 - \$325,462.08.

Councilor Baxter moved and Councilor Wright seconded to approve and the motion passed. (Councilor Weiser did not vote.)

2. Personnel Report.
3. Acceptance of Cedarcrest Golf Course Parking Lot.

Public Works Director Zabell explained that on the golf course parking lot, they have been waiting since May to complete and are now recommending that the City Council approve.

Councilor Baxter moved and Councilor Weiser seconded to approve. Passed unanimously.

REVIEW BIDS:

1. Lagoon Partition - North Cell.

Public Works Director Zabell reviewed the information in the agenda bill and explained the specified piling system is most appropriate. He concluded that staff is recommending that City Council approve the bid for \$100,969 from Caicas Corp.

Councilor Baxter noted that Council had previously approved the piling system and Public Works Director Zabell said yes they had, however staff left it open in the event another type of system would be appropriate, but that did not prove out in the bids, he said.

Councilor Baxter moved that Caicas Corporation's bid of \$100,969 be approved as per staff recommendation and Councilor Cundiff seconded the motion. Passed unanimously.

Councilor Pedersen asked about the time frame for the project and Larry Wade said he believed it would take about 90 days.

2. Sewerage Pump, Wastewater Treatment Facility.

Public Works Director Zabell referred to the agenda bill and stated staff is recommending City Council award the sewage pump contract to Pumptech, Inc. in the amount of \$12,330.

Councilor Weiser asked if the sewerage pump could still be used in the future if the outfall destination is changed and Public Works Director Zabell said it is possible.

Councilor Cundiff moved that the contract be awarded to Pumptech as per staff recommendation, in the amount of \$12,330 and Councilor Wright seconded the motion. Passed unanimously.

CURRENT BUSINESS:

1. Quil Ceda Auto Annexation - 10% Petition.

City Planner Corcoran presented a map depicting a yellow boundary as the proposed annexation area, with blue markings indicating annexation covenants (just outside of the proposed annexation area). He noted there were a considerable number of covenants in the Indian Creek Estates, Hidden Lake Estates and Marysville Meadows subdivisions, however thought must be given to the fact that there is a considerable area in central Marysville around 80th St., eg., which is still not annexed.

Councilor Baxter said he felt the annexation should be restricted to the original request--just to 104th--because the applicant has been working on this for 8 years.

Councilor Wright suggested including Indian Creek Estates and Hidden Lake Estates in the annexation petition.

Public Works Director Zabell reported that he had spoken with Deanna Clark Winningham at the County with regard to the replacement of fill for the crossing at 100th St. and she told him the cost would be \$2.5 million with a matching share of an \$811,000 grant (which would be up to Marysville to collect). She said there are no funds available at this point for this project and the MRIP (further north on Old 99) has only collected \$20,000.

City Attorney Allendoerfer pointed out that this annexation and 100th St. crossing project would replace the MRIP and Public Works Director Zabell agreed, adding that it was originally scheduled for 1993 construction. Also, if the bridge were annexed into Marysville and the County had already improved it (which is unlikely to happen), then Marysville would have to reimburse the County.

There was discussion regarding bridges versus culverts funding, whether or not the bridge is a hazard at present, the fact that the County wanted to realign the 100th St. crossing to the east, new fill needed, the County probably not wanting to improve this, similarity to the Ebey Slough bridge situation, State jurisdiction.

Councilor Pedersen stated she would be in favor of including Indian Creek Estates and Hidden Lake Estates in the annexation boundary.

City Attorney Allendoerfer pointed out that \$800,000 has to be raised so a large annexation would have to be acquired. He suggested the possibility of including the industrial land north of this area, for example.

Brian Ridge, Lynnwood, addressed Council, representing owners of property east of State, over to Armar. He said he represents the majority of property value in this particular area and they are considering substantial development, they are within the RUSA boundary and would be willing to annex. He said he had also spoken with another property owner, Christine Evans, just east of State, and she is also interested in annexing. The property is not contiguous to the City limits at this point, however, he said.

There was discussion about boundaries, people interested in annexing could go from house to house perhaps, Paula Morgan is no longer a freelance negotiator.

Paula Townsend of Shockey/Brent addressed Council, representing Quil Ceda Auto Wrecking and stated she has been in touch with several people in areas from 88th north to 100th and there are no more areas interested in annexing. She said they would like to minimize Rich Warbus' wait as he has been working on this annexation for over 7 years.

Councilor Weiser moved to approve the 10% annexation petition, with boundaries to include Indian Creek Estates and Hidden Lake Estates, up to 108th & State (Old 99). Councilor Baxter seconded and the motion passed with Councilor Cundiff opposed.

City Planner Corcoran said he would determine whether there is sufficiency of petition for 60% for the area. He added that an interlocal agreement will probably have to be set up with the County on the MRIP so that any funding presently in place will not disappear. Public Works Director Zabell to contact the State, also.

2. McConnell/Burke Contract Amendment.

City Planner Corcoran explained that additional meetings have driven the original contract up, caused in part by the methodology used by the Tulalip Tribes, delays by Transpo with regard to the City of Everett model and vehicle trip generation by land use category information changes. He said the original model was not comprehensive enough and this has caused additional meetings with Transpo, eg. there is another meeting with them again tomorrow, and the increase requested in McConnell/Burke's contract is basically for work already in the work program. He added that there have been a lot of things happen with regard to the delays in the Comprehensive Plan update, also.

Councilor Pedersen asked about the time line/schedule for completion of the Comp Plan and whether the additional cost is for time already expended. City Planner Corcoran said basically, yes.

Councilor Pedersen referred to the request of the Planning Commission for the consultants to attend some of their meetings and asked if this wouldn't represent more time and cost, also.

City Planner Corcoran said he wasn't sure on that, but that is a possibility.

City Administrator Sanden explained that when the City first looked at this project, City Council did approve about \$25,000 to bring in McConnell/Burke but that was reduced to \$17,000. Some unanticipated items have come up since, she explained, and City Planner Corcoran pointed to the Transpo model as an example of things that have come up where McConnell/Burke have had no control over the additional time and cost factors.

Councilor Weiser noted that Council originally expected that the transportation plan and the land use plan were to dovetail and Public Works Director Zabell reiterated that two "wild cards" had been dealt as far as complications and time delays--the Tulalip Tribes' methodology concerning land use and trip generation on the west side and the City of Everett/Transpo transportation model.

Mayor Matheny expressed frustration in waiting for the Comp Plan to be completed and City Planner Corcoran agreed it has been frustrating for everyone involved and recommended approval of the increase in the consultant's contract in order to help finish up the Comp Plan. He noted there is a Planning Commission meeting tomorrow evening.

Councilor Weiser asked about the consultants and the Planning Commission working together on the Comp Plan and City Planner Corcoran expressed a positive feeling about that going well.

Councilor Baxter pointed out that if the consensus was \$25,000 originally, then \$21,000 (current request) is a good deal, to help with getting the Comp Plan done.

City Planner Corcoran commented on the urban area boundary, wetland regulations, critical/sensitive area regulations and other sections of the Comp Plan that may be adopted separately, too.

There were some comments regarding communications between the Planning Commission and consultants and City Administrator Sanden pointed out that this increase of \$5,340 will complete the original task and they may come back with an additional request to complete the Planning Commission request. Also, with regard to the documents where it sounded like the consultants had not reviewed the Planning Commission's previous discussions, she explained that in fact the consultants had reviewed them but did not recognize what the Planning Commission was referring to the night of the joint Planning Commission/City Council meeting.

Councilor Baxter said he would be in favor of going along with the City Planner's recommendation to approve the \$5,340 increase for the consultants, in order to complete the Comp Plan. He then made the motion to approve said increase, up to a total contract amount of \$21,040. Councilor Cundiff seconded the motion and the comment was made that it was hoped the Comp Plan would be completed by Christmas. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Draft Comprehensive Sewer Plan.

Public Works Director Zabell explained that Larry Wade, Bill Persich, Jonathan Ives and Karen Hilding were available for comment.

Larry Wade gave an overview of the plan (a 1" thick presentation was distributed) and referred to the Executive Summary. He said an engineering report has to be submitted by 11/1/90 and noted that the City has never really had a comprehensive sewer plan, so in effect, this is the first one. He outlined six goals of the Comprehensive Sewer Plan:

1. Protection of water quality/natural environment within the service area;
2. Protection of public health;
3. Cost control;
4. Continuity of sewer services;
5. Extension of sewer services;
6. Compliment to the land use plan.

With regard to #6, he noted that the Comprehensive Sewer Plan should not set land use boundaries, but rather be a separate plan. He went on to explain that natural features set some RUSA boundaries and referred to a map depicting the natural drainage basin between Highway 9 over to the Tulalip plateau, bounded on the south by Ebey Slough over to the Lake Stevens Sewer District, north to 172nd and 180th, plus some other nodes of service. He noted that the proposed plan addresses population growth to the year 2012 but there are some "what ifs" that have not been addressed and changes may be necessary to the boundaries if the urban area growth boundary warrants it, for example. He stated the plan is based on the same population projections as the water comprehensive plan: a total population projection of 62,000 through the year 2012, with a current 6,000 households in the present sewer area and given the assumption that a certain percentage of households will be on septic systems rather than the Marysville sewer system.

He reviewed the existing sewer trunk system map, noting there are currently seven pump stations within the service area boundary and 101 miles of sewer line to maintain. He talked a bit about industrial use, violations to the system causing the BOD count elevation, hydraulic flow components, expanded service areas, the southeast corner of the service area requiring upsizing modifications which will naturally involve additional cost. He talked about accommodating the west side and the possible route for sewer mains to make this a reality. He also discussed the alternative of the City of Arlington sharing some of the burden of Marysville's sewer service area.

Mr. Wade went into some detail regarding the waste water treatment facility, including design criteria for 2012 and "uncertain" development, effluent DOE criteria, filtration, liquid stream alternatives (Ebey Slough to be eliminated as an outflow), removal of algae, aeration, outfall study by Jones & Stokes, sludge management. He outlined briefly a plan for the alternative of acquiring wetlands to the east of the lagoon and using the land for park.

As far as the current sewer trunk system, he noted that it needs upgrading in order to meet the 20 year needs and in bringing in the Tulalip Tribes, two more pump stations would have to be added in addition to two new pump stations to upgrade the present system.

Mr. Wade briefly outlined chapters on septics, drain fields, retention of some of the rural/ag systems and conservation. He said as much as 33 gallons per capita per day can be saved on installations that were put in prior to 1980. With water saving devices installed between now and 2012, 20 million gallons per day can be saved (new customers), not counting retrofitting old fixtures, he said and he commented on the positive effects and savings to the City as well as to customers. He touched on the chapters dealing with sludge management, upgrading the sewer lagoon and recommended alternatives for a sewer treatment plant.

Mayor Matheny asked about funding for the capital improvements and Bill Persich addressed Council. He said they are doing a rate impact study now with the results to be made available soon. He added that they are also pursuing grant money but City Administrator Sanden pointed out that the grant application and process could cause up to a year time delay.

Public Works Director Zabell pointed out that you have to wait until you have the money before constructing.

Councilor Pedersen asked about Marysville's increased rates and hookup fees being compared with other cities and Mr. Wade said that would be part of the report Mr. Persich was referring to.

Public Works Director Zabell noted that Olympus Terrace rates were increased from \$12.00 to \$30.00 per month.

Councilor Baxter pointed out that Marysville has had a staged plan since 1980 for the sewer lagoon except the economy and the growth did not grow at the same rate as the lagoon.

Mr. Wade reminded Council that Marysville is only approved for 2.8 million gallons and Mr. Persich also commented that the wetland rules have changed also since the original 1980 plan. Also, sludge disposal is another area that is now receiving a lot of attention, for example heavy metal disposal, industrial pretreatment alternatives, he said. He did comment on the fact that Marysville has never had to be dredged, compared to Everett's system which is the same age and has 5' of sludge; Marysville has only 7" of sludge.

City Attorney Allendoerfer noted that the trickle filter system seems to be the answer to not having to enlarge the lagoon and Mr. Persich agreed, explaining that the trickle filter system would also meet the DOE 30/30 standards. There were comments about changing rules, obtaining a discharge permit, the fact that the trickling filter system would cost \$8.8 million.

Mr. Persick said there's a possibility of building the trickling filter system in two phases but there are potential problems with DOE there. The trade off of waiting for the grants is then being faced with another year of additional criteria/rule changes, he said, and added that he didn't feel Marysville can wait for another year.

Mr. Wade pointed out that the Centennial Clean Water funds also require a facilities plan study to be done. As far as current DOE requirements, this comprehensive plan needs to be adopted by Council by 11/1 so the comprehensive plan and the waste water engineering report can be submitted to DOE.

City Administrator Sanden pointed out that the next Council meeting is not until 11/5 and so if Council could give general approval tonight, the plan could be fine tuned and submitted to DOE by 11/1. The EIS draft is also being done, she added.

Jonathan Ives addressed Council, stating they would have the EIS draft ready 11/5 with publication 11/15, followed by a 30 day review period and after that time, about 12/15, the final EIS. He stated DOE has a 60 day review period so that would expire 1/1/91 and the EIS/SEPA document will be about 80-90 pages long.

Councilor Baxter commented on the long, hard process and then moved that the draft Comprehensive Sewer Plan be approved for submission to DOE subject to fine tuning by staff, by 11/1/90. Councilor Wright seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

4. **Firstmark Annexation.**

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained this annexation area is across from the golf course, was approved by City Council 4/23, approved by the Boundary Review Board 10/12 and the annexation is to be effective 11/1/90. There is one condition, that the property owners participate in an LID to upgrade 67th Avenue NE.

Councilor Wright moved to approve/adopt Ordinance 1803 regarding the Firstmark Annexation. Councilor Weiser seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS:

None.

LEGAL MATTERS:

1. **Public Defender Contract.**

City Attorney Allendoerfer referred to a copy of the contract in the packets and explained it had not been sent to Richard Bennett yet but the judge approves, public prosecutor approves and staff approves. Mr. Bennett charges \$65/case which is a comparatively low rate, he explained and added that Mr. Bennett can do it at this low rate because he handles a lot of cases at a time. It was noted that he has a fair approach, one very comparable to other courts.

Councilor Weiser asked about the definition of a "case" and City Attorney Allendoerfer admitted it is not always clear cut but generally, if the charges are functionally related, then it is all under one case. He gave an example of a person being charged with a DWI and some of the charges that can be functionally related to that charge.

Councilor Weiser pointed out that there has been an increase of \$20,000 in revenue per year from these \$65/case charges and asked if this was because of a different method of administration of the term "case".

There was discussion about the definition of "functionally related", putting the contract out to bid, the previous rotating system for public defenders. City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that Mr. Bennett does a good job for the City of Marysville and also Mountlake Terrace and is asking for a two year renewal of the contract (with a 30 day termination clause).

It was the consensus that City Attorney Allendoerfer should bring back new language with regard to "functionally related".

ORDINANCE & RESOLUTIONS:

1. **Ordinance Relating to Witness Fees and Juror Fees.**

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that Marysville's witness and juror fees are below others in the county and it is being requested that the travel allowance for them be increased from 20.5¢ to 24¢ per mile.

Councilor Pedersen asked what City employees are allowed and City Administrator Sanden said either 24¢ or 25¢. Councilor Weiser suggested approving "to the State approved level".

Finance Director Dexter said 22¢ per mile is what is included in the 1990 budget for City employees.

Councilor Weiser moved that the rate be increased to 24¢ per mile. Councilor Cundiff seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

2. Ordinance Relating to Impound & Redemption Fees for Animals.

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained this request from Noah Davidson, to increase the redemption fee from \$10 to \$25 and the impound fee from \$1.00 per day to \$3.00 per day. The rate would be consistent with Arlington.

Councilor Weiser told of a scheme he had heard about for getting around the \$25.00 redemption fee and there was brief discussion.

Councilor Cundiff moved and Councilor Weiser seconded to approve/adopt Ordinance 1805 increasing the fees as proposed. Passed unanimously.

3. Resolution Declaring Surplus Property.

It was explained that Item #36 is a duplication and to be deleted. Discussion followed about publishing of the list, auctioning process of automobiles, definition of "surplus". City Administrator Sanden explained that the determination of "surplus" is basically that of staff, noting that if the cost to repair is more than the item and/or unsafe items.

Councilor Wright moved and Councilor Cundiff seconded to approve/adopt Resolution 1449 declaring the listed items as surplus property. Passed unanimously.

4. Resolution Regarding Growth Management Act in Support of 2929.

Councilor Wright moved that Resolution 1450 be adopted/approved accepting the AWC draft proposed, supporting the Growth Management Act in the form of HB 2929 and opposing I-547. Councilor Cundiff seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

5. Judge Wisman Contract.

City Attorney Allendoerfer explained that Judge Wisman has signed and returned his 4 year contract, for the Mayor's signature.

Councilor Cundiff moved that the Mayor be authorized to sign the contract. Councilor Weiser seconded and the motion was passed unanimously.

6. Centex/Park View Estates Dry Sewer Lines.

Councilor Baxter moved to authorize the Mayor to sign the contract/covenant between Centex and the City, as drawn up by the City Attorney. Councilor Wright seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

STAFF'S BUSINESS:

City Administrator Sanden reminded the Budget Committee of the upcoming meeting Tuesday at 2 p.m.

CALL ON COUNCILMEMBERS:

Councilor Baxter reported that the tire storage situation/potential on Cedar needs to be watched.

Councilor Pedersen asked about the recycling proposals and Public Works Director Zabell said he was going to be attending a meeting on Thursday regarding this, comparing rates from Rubatino, Waste Management Northwest and Fibres International.

Councilor Pedersen asked about the driving range lights and City Planner Corcoran said he was still following up on the complaints.

Councilor Pedersen asked about the Third St. Bike Shop and City

Planner Corcoran said the building is basically finished except for the exterior and that he will follow up further with the owner. He said the owner has been making slow progress.

MAYOR'S BUSINESS:

Mayor Matheny commented on the situation on Beach St. where there are cars parked on the sidewalk.

Public Works Director Zabell reported the residents in Cedarcrest South would like the street posted "no parking" for safety purposes until the full street is widened, even though it is not designated for parking.

ADJOURNMENT: 11:10 p.m.

Accepted this 5th day of November, 1990.

Lita Matheny
MAYOR

Phillip E. Dexter
CITY CLERK

Manda A. Iverson
RECORDING SECRETARY