

ORIGINAL

08200

**MINUTES RECAP
MARYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING
AUGUST 23, 1999**

CALL TO ORDER/FLAG SALUTE	7:00 P.M.
ROLL CALL	All present
MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING 1. August 5, 1999 Council Workshop 2. August 9, 1999 Council Meeting	Not available. Approved as corrected.
AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION	None.
PRESENTATIONS/PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	None.
CONSENT AGENDA 1. Approve August 9, 1999 claims in the amount of \$348,976.31 paid by check Nos. 47330 through 47493 with check number 46920 void.	Approved, except for 47408, 47471, 47474.
ACTION ITEMS	
REVIEW BIDS None.	
PUBLIC HEARING None.	
CURRENT BUSINESS 1. Communication Center (Continued from August 9) 2. 116 th Street Master Plan (Continued from July 26)	Approved continuing to operate an in-house communication center, subject to conditions. Approved expenditure of \$65,000 for study.
NEW BUSINESS 1. Interlocal Agreement with Snohomish County concerning transfer, custody, retention and access of public records following annexation. 2. Left turn restriction 49 th Drive NE 3. Contract for expansion of Mechanics Shop	Approved. Approved. Approved.
ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS	
1. An ordinance of the City of Marysville annexing certain unincorporated area, located south of 152 nd Street NE, East of I-5, West of 51 st Avenue NE, and North of 136 th Street NE into the City of Marysville.	Approved Ordinance 2276.
LEGAL MATTERS None.	
INFORMATION ITEMS	
1. Mayor's business 2. Staff's business 3. Call on councilmembers	
ADJOURN	9:30 p.m.
EXECUTIVE SESSION	To discuss one personnel item and three pending litigation issues.
RECONVENE 1. Authorize Mayor to execute recently negotiated amendment to the collective bargaining agreement with Teamsters Local 763 pertaining to health benefits.	Approved.
ADJOURN	10:16 p.m.

00231

MINUTES
MARYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL - REGULAR MEETING
August 23, 1999

CALL TO ORDER/FLAG SALUTE

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Weiser at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, and the assemblage joined in the flag salute. A voice roll call was conducted. Attendance was as follows:

Councilmembers Present:
Dave Weiser, Mayor
Donna Wright, Mayor Pro Tem
Shirley Bartholomew
NormaJean Dierck
Otto Herman, Jr.
Mike Leighan
Donna Pedersen
Brett Roark

Administrative Staff present:
Dave Zabell, City Administrator
Robert Carden, Police Chief
Ed Erickson, Finance Director
Gloria Hirashima, City Planner
Grant Weed, City Attorney
Ken Winckler, Public Works Director
Owen Carter, City Engineer

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

1. City Council Workshop, August 5, 1999.

Mayor Weiser reported that the August 5th minutes were not available.

2. City Council Meeting, August 9, 1999.

Councilmembers noted the following corrections and comments:

- Page 1, second paragraph from bottom, revised sentence should read "Councilmember Dierck reported there was a pipe draining at the site which slowly drains into Allen Creek."
- Page 5, last paragraph, should read "There being no one further wishing to testify . . ."
- Page 6, fourth line from bottom, should be State Utilities Commission.
- Page 7, Ordinances & Resolutions, item 1's motion should read "to approve Ordinance 2275 . . ."
- Page 8, under Staff's business, second paragraph, should read "access management plan."
- Page 8, under Staff's business, third section, Mr. Winckler regarding Marysville Middle School, should read "they would like to have a right turn, only, coming out."

MOTION by Pedersen, second by Dierck, to approve the minutes of the August 9 meeting as corrected. Motion carried unanimously (7-0).

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

None.

PRESENTATIONS/PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS

None.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approve August 9, 1999 claims in the amount of \$348,976.31 paid by check Nos. 47330 through 47493, with check No. 46920 void.

MOTION by Herman, second by Pedersen, to approve item 1 with the following exceptions: 47408, 47471, 47474. Dierck voted nay; all others voted aye; motion carried (6-1)

ACTION ITEMS

Review Bids

None.

Public Hearing

None.

Current Business

1. Communication Center (continued from August 9, 1999)

Mayor Weiser reported that he attended a meeting on August 13 regarding the 800 MHz system and the possibility of delaying the timeframe for going to bid. Other cities were sympathetic with the decision facing the city, but unwilling to delay the process. This meant a decision was needed after this council meeting.

Chief Carden reviewed the agenda information, recapping the work on the communications center. At the August 19 meeting of the Fire Board, Fire Chief Corn recommended that subject to certain considerations the Fire Department stay with the city's dispatching center. A motion to that effect was passed by the Fire Board. The staff recommendation was that council carefully evaluate the financial impacts surrounding communication service alternatives and take action based on the outcome of that evaluation.

Regarding the Lake Loma site, he added that Commander Winters and Assistant Fire Chief Tucker had conducted various tests and found the site to be working satisfactorily. Since those tests, the Fire Board installed a generator for emergency back-up purposes.

The conditions which the Fire Board enumerated were:

- Review the contract and negotiate the possibility of not paying for any further upgrades.
- Ensure that all contract provisions were being adhered to, namely the Technical Advisory Committee and the Dispatch Administrative Advisory Committee. He noted that the Technical Advisory Committee was in the process of being reactivated. The Dispatch Administrative Advisory Committee would consist of the Police and Fire Chiefs, two members of the Fire Board, and the Mayor, and would meet twice a year to discuss equipment, budget and other matters.
- Begin the dialogue with Snopac about utilizing their transmitter. Chief Carden thought the Fire Board would pay for such usage if they decided to pursue this.

Mayor Weiser called for public comments.

Fire Chief Corn stated that Chief Carden had adequately conveyed the Fire Board's actions and conditions.

Tom Howell, 1304 181 Avenue NE, Snohomish, represented Snopac. He noted that if the city utilized Snopac's services, Snopac would need to hire additional dispatchers so there would be employment opportunities for the city's dispatchers. Snocom had openings at this time, also.

The following letter, which had been received at city hall before the meeting, was also entered as testimony:

8-8-99

Police Chief Bob Carden,
Re: Article-Herald 8-6-99

Dear sir,

My husband and I have used your ER service many times and have been very happy with quick response and courteous responsible knowledge of our problems. We are alive!!

Why get rid of a dispatch center that works so efficiently?

Also my Dr. has me go to your center for B.P. checks, what nice young men you have on the job. Please don't change the way you do business - us seniors need you just like you are.

How can 695 cause all this \$ shortage? With so many people moving to our area and paying taxes and license fees, we should have enuff to fund our own. Where does all the money go? Needless to say, the article in the Herald on 8/6 made us very unhappy. Keep up the good work.

Sincerely, Julia and Otto Hafenscher
8911 62nd DR NE, Marysville 360-659-2861

There being no one further wishing to testify, the Mayor closed the public comment portion on this agenda item.

Councilmember Bartholomew noted she was on the original committee which later became Snopac and was familiar with both Snopac and Snocom, both of which did a superlative job. She had talked with many citizens regarding the city's dispatch center, and all had favored retaining the current setup. She stated she would support continuation of an in-house communication center but acknowledged that both retention and outsourcing would be expensive and would leave no room in the budget

for extras. She thanked Chief Carden and his Commanders for their well-written analysis of the issue.

Councilmember Pedersen reported she had received a great deal of input that was positive and in support of retaining the dispatch center. She thanked Chief Carden and the staff for the clear information and analyses they had provided. She gave serious consideration to the letter from Ron Beardsley. She felt the Finance Director's financial analysis indicated the center could be successfully funded so she supported retaining the service.

Councilmember Wright agreed that Mr. Erickson's financial analysis had addressed her concerns and also made it clear that if the city went with another provider it would be too expensive to ever get back in the dispatch business again. For those reasons, she would support retaining in-house communication services.

Councilmember Herman noted the advantages of having an in-house dispatch center had been made clear in the service level discussions, particularly as to Police service. He hoped the Fire Department would receive the same quality of services, which would ensure they felt as positive about in-house dispatching. He expressed a strong desire that the two committees become active and work to ensure that the Fire Department was well served. He asked citizens or employees who had any doubts about the service to contact him so prompt action could be taken. He noted it would be very important to the continued success of the center to retain the Fire Department as a satisfied customer. He acknowledged it would cost more to stay in the business, but questioned how much more; he based his consideration on the higher projections because he thought the city would invest in the CAD upgrade and personnel training. He had listened to the input and considered the finality of getting out of dispatch and supported retention of in-house communication service. He requested that any implementing motion include a provision for regular reports back to council from users of the system to ensure problems were dealt with in a timely manner.

Councilmember Roark suggested supporters of retaining in-house dispatching were benefiting from the fact this was an election year. He understood the budget concerns but felt the safety of officers and citizens was paramount. He supported retaining the center but questioned staff about the status of attempts to obtain federal government grant funds.

Chief Carden reported that Commander Cruze had made inquiries about funding available in 2000. There would be grant funds available, but in order to be competitive there would need to be cooperation with other organizations. He suggested joining with Snopac and Snocom to seek a sizeable grant.

Councilmember Roark noted that a successful grant application would significantly reduce the council's budget concerns. Chief Carden added that the CAD upgrade and enhanced CAD were important to the Fire Department. Councilmember Roark thanked Chief Carden for his work on this issue and thanked the Mayor's support of the process. He asked the Police Officers to remember that council supported this issue in the face of serious budget considerations.

Councilmember Dierck stated she was concerned after reading the letter from the Marysville Firefighters. She had spoken with many Fire Department personnel regarding their concern about the three-minute delay in the current system. Contracting with Snopac would save \$75,000 and they would absorb most of the city's dispatch personnel. She felt the city should switch to Snopac as the current center did not meet the city's needs and the safety of the firefighters needed to be considered.

Councilmember Leighan recounted several incidents which highlighted the need to address the training and professionalism of the city's dispatch center. He stated he had always received excellent service on his personal calls, and preferred dealing with local people. He noted that Snopac dispatched for \$11 per call, Marysville for \$17 and Snocom for \$19, but the city took on fire dispatch without adding dispatchers. He indicated a preference for retaining in-house communication services but with increased professionalism to both inside and outside customers.

MOTION by Roark, second by Bartholomew, to continue to operate an in-house communication center:

- directing staff to immediately take steps to commit funds and identify potential funding options to support the necessary upgrades and expansion needs, following budgetary option 1B which included significant CAD upgrades;
- actively pursue other dispatch customers;
- and regularly report back to council, beginning at the next meeting with a report on the Lake Loma site.

On roll call vote, Dierck voted nay, all others voted aye, motion carried (6-1).

2. 116th Street Master Plan (Continued from July 26)

Ms. Hirashima presented the information from the agenda packet, noting she had met with a group representing this area today and their recommendation was that the city complete the master plan, utilizing the research their group had done. The planning process would take approximately six months plus four to five weeks from the budget amendment to elicit formal responses, conduct interviews and award the contract. A great deal of the timing would depend on the public comments that were received. She added that there was some interest in private development proceeding with the sewer line extensions for that area, but no single property owner was willing to front the costs. The sewer plan could not be finalized until the property owners had agreed on the issue of access to the various properties.

Councilmember Dierck disagreed with staff's assertion that development of this property would generate permit fees. She said she would need to fully understand what was going to happen with sewers in the area. She voiced opposition to the recommended action for the following reasons: the planning effort for this area had already been dissolved once, traffic mitigation fees were inadequate, going forward with a master plan would not help future road improvements, there was a lack of funding for ESA.

Councilmember Herman noted that alignment and access issues needed to be resolved in order to prepare a viable sewer plan. He supported benefiting properties paying for their own planning, but the current recommendation from the city attorney was for the city to pay. He expressed concern that the city may need to review its fees and permit charges so that planning did not become a burden. He agreed that the tax base was there, but sought reassurance that the city could afford to finance planning for future development. He also expressed concern about the city funding a development plan that imposed an access plan on the property owners. He wanted to see an explanation of how these funds would be accrued so the city's investment was short term.

Councilmember Pedersen agreed that the fees charged needed to cover the cost of the city's undertaking. Ms. Hirashima noted the city had two annexation fees; one for 10 acres or less and one for over ten acres.

Councilmember Leighan emphasized this was an opportunity to plan for 175 acres and address ESA, downstream analysis and wetland protection. Doing it by individual parcel would not address access management and traffic. He stated he did not want to see the area littered with driveways like 4th Street and there was potential in the area for a great tax base. He suggested \$65,000 would be well spent in support of economic development.

Councilmember Roark noted that Bellmark Properties had contacted staff and indicated there was renewed interest among a few major property owners in completing the master plan on their own. Ms. Hirashima responded that at today's meeting the group indicated it would be too difficult for them to coordinate a planning process so they would be supportive of the city's involvement.

Mr. Weed reviewed the research he had done on this topic, noting there was a difference between a planning effort for a region or sub-region versus a specific application for a particular parcel. In the latter case, the city had more flexibility for recouping its costs because it could identify specific impacts that needed to be mitigated. A regional or sub-area plan was part of the planning process which cities or local governments had the onus to do. Statutes allowed for special assessments, the creation of LIDs, and recovery contracts but did not allow for recovery of costs associated with general planning functions. He added that if one or more of the property owners were to come forward and voluntarily contribute, there was a method to cover that. It must be done in a fair and impartial way so that those who do contribute would not receive special favors or treatment. All planning would be done independently of the contributors, with the ultimate decision made by the Planning Commission and city council.

Ms. Hirashima added that some of the property owners had indicated an interest in financing the planning effort, but a proposal to have all property owners pay in proportion to their ownership interest had not received support.

Mayor Weiser noted that when the city did the land use planning for the comprehensive plan the funds expended came from general tax money and some state grants. He suggested it would be appropriate to consider this plan as an extension of that earlier effort and pursue similar funding options. It would be uncomfortable for a property owner to contribute to a planning effort that might provide roads for someone who had not contributed. He stated commercial and industrial properties generally returned back to the city in taxes on goods and services four times the cost of planning. The area in question would contribute far more than the costs of the planning.

Ms. Hirashima noted that the Planning Commission was considering increased traffic impact fees and development fees that were tied to the time spent on applications. This would not take into consideration consultants' fees. She stated the proposed fees would be approximately double the current ones.

Mayor Weiser called for audience comments at this point.

Jeff Seibert, 5004 80th Street, supported planning because the area was a revenue source and the planning would be cohesive. He questioned whether the property owners would accept the plan when it was completed. Ms. Hirashima noted that part of the process was public input during Planning Commission and council hearings. That input would be drawn on in preparing the plan. Mr. Weed added that comprehensive planning was a function the city was empowered and required to do under GMA. Final say about what the plan looked like rested with elected officials. There would be no vote at the property owner level to accept or reject the plan; that would be done by the city council.

Phyllis McKenzie, 1528 172nd Street Arlington, thought the planning should have been completed under the original GMA planning process. By delaying, the city lost an \$8.5 Million project where the mitigation fees would have been \$500,000.

MOTION by Bartholomew, second by Roark, to authorize the expenditure of \$65,000 for the 116th Street NE Master Plan study with work to begin as soon as possible and to proceed on an aggressive timeline.

Councilmember Leighan asked that the text of the ordinance be corrected from 166th Street NE to 116th Street NE.

On roll call vote, Dierck and Herman voted nay; all others voted aye; motion carried (5-2).

New Business

Because of the number of people in the audience waiting to speak to the topic of the proposed traffic revision at Marysville Middle School, the agenda items were reordered.

2. Left turn restriction 49th Drive NE

Mr. Winckler gave the staff presentation, noting that left turns onto Grove created a backlog that affected bus schedules. Staff had reviewed the school's proposal to restrict left turns and supported it. Mr. Carter added that staff had made a correction on the timing of the restriction. It would be in force from 7:30 to 8:00 a.m. and from 2:15 to 3:00 p.m. Because of the sight restriction to the east from parked cars, the 30 foot parking restriction would be added there.

Councilmember Roark left the meeting at this point (8:25 p.m.)

Pete Lundberg, 4606 93rd Place NE, explained the problem resulted from parents accessing the site to drop off and pick up students. When they exited onto Grove, the buses were delayed and traffic generally backed up. The proposal was for cars to enter and exit onto Grove, utilizing a right turn only to exit, with buses accessing from 67th Street NE, only. This would provide greater safety for everyone, and enhance the flow of traffic. 1023 students were expected this year, and a significant number of parents drop off and pick up their children. There were approximately 60 to 80 cars each time and 30 buses. The traffic signals proposed for 51st and 47th would also support this plan. Flyers would be distributed to parents during orientation. Chief Carden suggested utilizing the public access channel to get the word out also.

Mr. Zabell noted it would be a good idea for the city and school district to review the change after 90 days to ensure it was working well or to make necessary changes.

Mayor Weiser called for public comment; there was none.

MOTION by Pedersen, second by Dierck, to Direct Public works to place "no left turn during the hours of 7:30 a.m. to 8:15 a.m. and 2:25 p.m. to 3:00 p.m." signs at the intersection of Grove Street and 49th Drive NE, south side only, with a review of this traffic revision at the end of 90 days. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).

1. Interlocal Agreement with Snohomish County concerning transfer, custody, retention and access of public records following annexation

Ms. Hirashima gave the staff presentation.

Mayor Weiser called for public input; there was none.

MOTION by Wright, second by Dierck to authorize the Mayor to sign the interlocal agreement. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).

3. Contract for expansion of mechanics shop

Mr. Winckler backgrounded council, noting this remodel would allow the mechanics to work on large vehicles like garbage trucks. Three bids had been received; the low bid was \$13,579, plus sales tax, from Ron Loop Construction.

Councilmember Pedersen suggested the contract required clarification. In paragraph 2 it called for work to be "substantially completed" within 30 days; in the following paragraph it called for the work to be completed within the time specified. Mr. Weed responded that "substantial completion" was a common term for construction contracts, but was not a good term to use for a remodel job; the document would be revised to eliminate the inconsistency.

Councilmember Dierck left the room briefly at this point.

Mayor Weiser called for public comments; there were none.

MOTION by Pedersen, second by Bartholomew, to authorize the Mayor to sign the contract with low bidder, Ron Loop Construction, for the expansion for the Mechanics Shop. Motion carried unanimously (5-0).

Councilmember Dierck returned at this point in the meeting.

Ordinances & Resolutions

1. An ordinance of the City of Marysville annexing certain unincorporated area located south of 152nd Street NE, East of I-5, West of 51st Avenue NE and North of 136th Street NE into the City of Marysville.

Ms. Hirashima noted the effective date of this ordinance would be September 30, 1999.

MOTION by Bartholomew, second by Leighan, to adopt Ordinance 2276. Dierck voted nay; all others voted aye; motion carried (5-1).

LEGAL MATTERS

None.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

None.

INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Mayor's business

Mayor Weiser advised the city had received a request for an open space designation in the southeast part of the city. Approval required a joint meeting with three county councilmembers and three city councilmembers. Dates proposed by the county were September 2, 15, or 22. Councilmember Herman noted this was a property tax action, not a land use designation issue. Councilmembers Bartholomew, Dierck and Pedersen agreed to serve.

2. Staff's business

In response to an earlier request from council, Chief Carden reported on the use of Tribal gaming funds. The funds had been used for 2 patrol vehicles, 3 patrol vehicle radios, 18 Opticom, and 1 critical incident negotiator phone. He would be issuing a press release soon.

Mr. Zabell:

- Tom King reviewed the city's traffic signals and determined they were Y2K compliant.
- The city had received 89 applicants for its last civil service testing; 22 had progressed to the Oral Board phase.

Mayor Weiser called for public input; there was none.

MOTION by Wright, second by Dierck to authorize the Mayor to sign the interlocal agreement. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).

3. Contract for expansion of mechanics shop

Mr. Winckler backgrounded council, noting this remodel would allow the mechanics to work on large vehicles like garbage trucks. Three bids had been received; the low bid was \$13,579, plus sales tax, from Ron Loop Construction.

Councilmember Pedersen suggested the contract required clarification. In paragraph 2 it called for work to be "substantially completed" within 30 days; in the following paragraph it called for the work to be completed within the time specified. Mr. Weed responded that "substantial completion" was a common term for construction contracts, but was not a good term to use for a remodel job; the document would be revised to eliminate the inconsistency.

Councilmember Dierck left the room briefly at this point.

Mayor Weiser called for public comments; there were none.

MOTION by Pedersen, second by Bartholomew, to authorize the Mayor to sign the contract with low bidder, Ron Loop Construction, for the expansion for the Mechanics Shop. Motion carried unanimously (5-0).

Councilmember Dierck returned at this point in the meeting.

Ordinances & Resolutions

1. An ordinance of the City of Marysville annexing certain unincorporated area located south of 152nd Street NE, East of I-5, West of 51st Avenue NE and North of 136th Street NE into the City of Marysville.

Ms. Hirashima noted the effective date of this ordinance would be September 30, 1999.

MOTION by Bartholomew, second by Leighan, to adopt Ordinance 2276. Dierck voted nay; all others voted aye; motion carried (5-1).

LEGAL MATTERS

None.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

None.

INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Mayor's business

Mayor Weiser advised the city had received a request for an open space designation in the southeast part of the city. Approval required a joint meeting with three county councilmembers and three city councilmembers. Dates proposed by the county were September 2, 15, or 22. Councilmember Herman noted this was a property tax action, not a land use designation issue. Councilmembers Bartholomew, Dierck and Pedersen agreed to serve.

2. Staff's business

In response to an earlier request from council, Chief Carden reported on the use of Tribal gaming funds. The funds had been used for 2 patrol vehicles, 3 patrol vehicle radios, 18 Opticoms, and 1 critical incident negotiator phone. He would be issuing a press release soon.

Mr. Zabell:

- Tom King reviewed the city's traffic signals and determined they were Y2K compliant.
- The city had received 89 applicants for its last civil service testing; 22 had progressed to the Oral Board phase.

Ms. Hirashima provided an update on Cleve Potter (council meeting of August 2). She met with Mr. Potter and several of his neighbors and spent 1-1/2 hours explaining the planning process and what city would be reviewing. She explained the planning terms and development standards and what the potential issues would be in changing a land use. The group was going to identify issues and determine as a neighborhood if they wanted to pursue a comp plan amendment.

Mr. Winckler noted there would be a ceremony on August 25 at 2:00 p.m. at the city golf course to dedicate a plaque which had been awarded to the city from the American Society of Civil Engineers. This was for the design and construction of the fish ladder

Owen Carter gave a short update on highway planning. He met with Washington State Department of Transportation on August 12 regarding the 529 project. He believed the Department heard the concerns of the city and the citizens. The project would be in two phases. Phase 1 would encompass the Steamboat Slough bridges. The project would be advertised this fall and six months would be allowed for obtaining parts. During January 2000 there would be full closure of the Ebbey Slough bridge on three weekends. This would allow the bridge to be jacked up and repaired, ensuring it was operable during other construction. When the parts come in, the east bridge, north bound, would be closed for a month to do seismic repairs. A detour route had been identified. The Coast Guard had given concurrence for a fixed span bridge for Ebbey Slough. After preliminary design and the environmental process, the State would apply for Coast Guard approval and permits. Geddes Marine had expressed concern and wanted 38' clear span; Dunlap Towing wanted 26' from high water. The State had met with both companies and determined that 24' clearance would work. The State will proceed with bridge design and the environmental process. The project was 100% funded and not on the cutting block as far as Initiative 695. Phase 2 would be the remaining bridge design and the city would be involved. There was a possibility the bridge fenders, the wooden bulkheads, could remain with some kind of boardwalk added.

3. Call on councilmembers

Councilmember Herman reported that Community Transit was considering a variety of options in anticipation of I-695 passing. The motor vehicle excise tax constituted approximately \$305,000 of CT's revenue so the loss would require substantial adjustments. He had asked Ms. Hirashima to review how proposed cuts would affect the city in terms of concurrency, land use planning and transportation for land use issues.

Councilmember Wright

- Would attend a forum on August 26 regarding recent funding decisions covering current projects.
- The city could apply for \$5,000 from the Department of Commerce and Economic Development to help defray the cost of sending someone from the city to the legislative session.
- She proposed a field trip to view the Deering wild flowers.

Councilmember Dierck:

- stated she had twice requested to have discussion of the QuilCeda Allen Watershed Study placed on the agenda. The Assistant City Administrator's response had been that the council had considered the request and the motion had failed. Ms. Dierck had made another attempt to have the topic placed on the agenda, only to find out the next day that it had been struck because the minutes of the June 7 meeting indicated her motion to adopt the study died for lack of a second. She stated she did not want any further opposition on this issue, as she was a member of a legislative body with the right and obligation to place items on the agenda which the citizens had elected her to address.

Mayor Weiser responded that the topic was not placed on the agenda because it had not been received within the cutoff time. He was familiar with the past attempts to schedule a review or action on the study, but both motions had failed. The council could determine if they wanted this to come back to them for review.

Ms. Dierck said the earlier motions were for the TMDL Non-Point Source Pollution Study. The one she was speaking to at this point was the QuilCeda Allen Watershed study. She had been told by staff that the Mayor was the person who determined the agenda. She stated she would visit city hall again to place the discussion on the agenda.

Councilmember Herman suggested the current discussion emphasized the need for clarity on how the agenda gets constructed. A discussion of the QuilCeda Allen Watershed Study could be useful, as there were undefined terms and the

consequences of adopting the study were unclear. He asked for input from staff about watershed plans in other jurisdictions. That would help council identify its expectations from taking action. Councilmember Dierck noted that Jan Carrol could help with that as they were organizing a watershed forum so elected officials could talk with representatives from other jurisdictions.

- Councilmember Dierck noted there had been an incident in her neighborhood requiring seven police vehicles. She would have appreciated a briefing at the time because the neighbors were asking her what was happening. Chief Carden responded they try to brief councilmembers and would try to let the residents know.

ADJOURN TO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Council adjourned into executive session at 9:30 to discuss one personnel item and three pending litigation issues.

RECONVENE AND ADJOURN

Council reconvened into regular session.

MOTION by Bartholomew, second by Dierck, to authorize the Mayor to execute the recently negotiated amendment to the collective bargaining agreement with Teamsters Local 763 pertaining to health benefits. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).

The meeting adjourned at 10:16 p.m.

Accepted this 7th day of September, 1999.

David Weiss
Mayor

Mary Dierck
City Clerk

Ann M. Currie
Recording Secretary