Technical Memorandum

Date:	Friday, September 24, 2021
Project:	State Avenue Corridor Improvements Project 100th Street to 116th Street
From:	David Kuhns, PE, HDR

Subject: Storm Drainage Report Addendum

Background

A Final Storm Drainage Report (Report) was prepared by HDR that is dated September 2019 that covers the stormwater requirements for the State Avenue Corridor Improvements Project from 100th Street to 116th Street. The Report includes the Phase 1 project work from 100th Street NE to 104th Street NE, as well as Phase 2 project work from 104th Street NE to 116th Street NE.

Phase 1 project work has been awarded and is currently in construction, while Phase 2 project work is being bid separately. At the time of the drainage report being completed, the Phase 2 project work was completed to a 90 percent level of design.

This technical memorandum (TM) serves as an addendum to the September 2019 Report to provide an overview of the modifications to the Phase 2 design.

Modification Made to Storm Design

There were no significant changes to the threshold discharge areas (TDAs), new/replaced surfaces, and minimum requirements that are provided in the Storm Drainage Report. However, modifications were made to the infiltration galleries as well as the conveyance design.

Infiltration Gallery Modifications

The Phase 2 design included a total of 10 infiltration galleries. This included four smaller infiltration galleries (galleries #3, 4, 5, and 6). These smaller galleries were removed from the design since with the runoff originally tributary to these facilities now conveyed to Infiltration Gallery 2. Infiltration Gallery 2's size was increased to infiltrate the additional area. An updated MGSFlood output for Infiltration Gallery 2 is included as an attachment to this TM

The conveyance system immediately upstream of the infiltration galleries was also modified to accommodate for a 2.3 foot drop required for the pretreatment system. In addition, a new catch basin between the pretreatment system and the infiltration gallery was designed to allow for easier maintenance access to the infiltration gallery and to provide for an additional drop in water surface elevations. The catch basin elevation drop was designed to eliminate tail water on the pretreatment system during the water quality storm event to allow for proper operation of the cartridges.

Conveyance System Modifications

The Phase 2 design used inlet spacing based on using the WSDOT Inlet Spacing spreadsheet to maintain a gutter spread less than 5 feet during a 100-year storm event. However, the City of Marysville Engineer Design and Development Standards (City Standards) does not require a catch basin spacing analysis unless the width of the tributary road surface exceed 35 feet or if the cross slope exceeds 4 percent. When a catch basin spacing analysis is not required, the City Standards call for catch basins to be spaced no greater than 150 feet for grades less than one percent, 200 feet for grades between one and three percent, and 300 feet for grades three percent and greater. Therefore, the design was modified to follow the 150 foot minimum spacing with excess catch basins eliminated.

Because the infiltration galleries were modified to include additional drops to accommodate the required hydraulic drop in the pretreatment system and the drop necessary to avoid a tail water on the StormFilter cartridges during the water quality storm, pipe slopes were modified to keep the infiltration galleries from needing to go significantly deeper.

Previously, the Phase 2 90 percent plans had the shallowest pipe slopes as 0.75 percent. While the City Standards do not include a minimum slope, the WSDOT Hydraulics Manual calls for a minimum slope to maintain a 3 foot per second velocity when the pipe is flowing full. For a 12-inch pipe, this is equal to a slope of 0.44 percent. The revised design uses this standard as the minimum pipe slope as well as doing a conveyance analysis to verify pipe capacities. In the analysis, the total flow to an infiltration gallery was analyzed with a 12-inch pipe at a 0.44 percent slope to see if the minimum pipe size at the minimum slope provided adequate capacity. In locations where this did not provide adequate capacity, a detailed analysis of each pipe segment was completed to determine pipe size and slope that would need to be provided for each segment. A copy of this analysis is included as an attachment to this TM.

Appendix

Page intentionally left blank

Uniform Flow Analysis	Actual Pipe Diameters Nomininal
City of Marysville State Ave Phase 2	Material D Inner D
100% Design	PVC SDR-35 12 11.78
	PVC SDR-35 15 14.426
100-year Storm Coefficients for Marysville	PVC SDR-35 18 17.629
Per City's SWMMWW Supplemental Manual	DI, Class 52 12 12.46
m 10.07	DI, Class 52 14 14.52
n 0.586	DI, Class 52 16 16.6
	DI, Class 52 18 18.68 ***To use macro to goal seek variable, s

	Impervious Area (acre)	Impervious Runoff Coefficient C	Pervious Area (acre)	Pervious Runoff Coefficient C	Area Total, A _t (acre)	Composite Runoff Coefficient, C _c	Time of Concentration, T _c (minutes), minimum of 5 minutes	Peak Rainfall Intensity, I _R	Peak Flow from CB, Q (cfs)	Up- stream Pipe Flow (cfs)	stream	Down- stream Pipe Material	Manning's	Diam.	Pipe Slope (%)	Pipe Slope (ft/ft)		Q - Q _{calc} (goal seek to zero)	Flow depth, y (inch), goal seek variable		Area, A (sq.ft.)	-		Goal Seek Complete?
Calculation of Slope Required for	Last Pipe	- T	-			I				1	-	1	1	-	-							1		
Flow to Gallery 2							_																	
CB 111	0.105				5 0.1047			3.9213269			0.36952835		0.013	11.78	0.44		0.37016382	-0.000635476		2.2035		0.1556043	27.4%	Solved
CB 106	0.104			0.2				3.9213269			0.73589695	-	0.013	11.78	0.44		0.73590122					0.2075595	39.3%	Solved
CB 110	0.124			0.2				3.9213269		-	0.43758443		0.013	11.78	0.44		0.43787211					0.1671855	29.9%	Solved
CB 109	0.035			0.2							0.56227291		0.013	11.78	0.44	0.0044				2.4913		0.1857789	34.0%	Solved
CB 108	0.018			0 0.2				3.9213269			0.62457663		0.013	11.78			0.62460152			2.5731		0.1940658	36.0%	Solved
CB 104	0.019			0.2				3.9213269	0.067		1.42747642		0.013	11.78		0.0044	1.42799207					0.2671759	57.7%	Solved
CB 105	0.111			0.2				3.9213269			2.23369799		0.013	11.78	0.55	0.0055	2.23373618				0.59363		73.2%	Solved
CB 103	0.117				5 0.1174			3.9213269			0.41441296		0.013		2	0.02						0.1175573	19.8%	Solved
CB 102	0.106			0.2				3.9213269			2.606062		0.013	11.78	0.6	0.006	2.60583543			4.4796			81.0%	Solved
CB 101	0.125	5 0.9) (0.2	5 0.1248	0.9	5	3.9213269	0.44058	2.2337	2.67428013	PVC	0.013	11.78	0.6	0.006	2.6734596	0.000820527	9.82	4.604	0.67434	0.298409	83.4%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 7	0.520			0.2				3.9213269			1.83597497		0.013	11.78	0.44	0.0044						0.2887529	68.5%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 8	0.523			0.2				3.9213269			1.84715562		0.013	11.78	0.44	0.0044	1.84740899	-0.000253373		3.9145			68.8%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 9	0.536			0.2				3.9213269			1.89260736		0.013	11.78		0.0044				3.9701			70.1%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 10 from the south	0.641	1 0.9	, (0.2	5 0.6407	0.9	5	3.9213269	2.26133	0	2.26132552	PVC	0.013	11.78	0.44	0.0044	2.26129556	2.99514E-05	9.68	4.5408	0.66567	0.2986699	82.2%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 10 from the north	0.00		<u> </u>		5 0.0070			0.0040000	0.00700		0.00700000	DVO	0.010	44.70		0.0044	0.00740040	7 400005 05	0.50	4.0470	0.40000	0.4000700	04.001	Octored
CB 158	0.067				5 0.0672			3.9213269		-	0.23706203		0.013	11.78	0.44		0.23713612					0.1282706	21.9%	Solved
CB 156	0.164			0.2				3.9213269			0.81513368		0.013	11.78	0.44		0.81490187	0.000231802				0.2162751	41.6%	Solved
CB 154	0.206			0.2							1.54390094		0.013	-			1.54391411					0.2742209	60.7%	Solved
CB 152	0.212			0.2				3.9213269			2.29259891		0.013			0.0044						0.2983749	83.5%	Solved
CB 150	0.181			0 0.2				3.9213269			2.92989555		0.013		0.44	0.0044						0.3464186	65.0%	Solved
CB 148	0.192			0.2							3.60705361			14.426	0.5	0.005	3.60704002			4.0704	0.88		72.4%	Solved
CB 146 (to Pretreatment MH)	0.208			0.2							6.60289874			17.629		0.0063	6.60291562			3.9582		0.4348963	69.9%	Solved
Flow to Gallery 11	0.417	7 0.9	· (J 0.2	5 0.4165	0.9	5	3.9213269	1.46993	0	1.46993045	PVU	0.013	11.78	0.44	0.0044	1.46993394	-3.48986E-06	6.93	3.4965	0.46305	0.2698085	58.8%	Solved

select cell in Column T and press CTRL+Q to run macro

Page intentionally left blank

MGS FLOOD PROJECT REPORT

Program Version: MGSFlood 4.54 Program License Number: 200510004 Project Simulation Performed on: 09/21/2021 1:44 PM Report Generation Date: 09/21/2021 1:44 PM

Project Name: M	DM State Ave 90 Per <u></u> arysville State Ave filtration Gallery 9	_Infiltration Galler	y 02.fld			
	PRECIPIT/	ATION INPUT —				
Computational Time Step (Minutes): 15					
Extended Precipitation Tim Climatic Region Number:	e Series Selected 15					
Full Period of Record Avail Precipitation Station : Evaporation Station : Evaporation Scale Factor		et East 40 in_5mir	n 10/01/1939-10/01/2097			
HSPF Parameter Region N HSPF Parameter Region N		Default				
********* Default HSPF Pa	arameters Used (Not	Modified by User)	****			
******************************** WATE	RSHED DEFINITION	*****	***			
Predevelopment/Post	Development Tribut		ry			
Total Subbasin Area (acre Area of Links that Include Total (acres)		Predeveloped 1.000 0.000 1.000	Post Developed 1.000 0.000 1.000			
SCENARI Number of Subbasins: 1	O: PREDEVELOPE)				
Subbasin : Subba Area (Ad						
	.000					
Subbasin Total 1	.000					
SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 1						
Subbasin : Subba						
Area (Ad Impervious 1	.000					
Subbasin Total 1	.000					

8	SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED
Number of Links:	0

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: Infiltration Gallery 9

Link Type: Infiltration Trench Downstream Link: None

Trench Type: Trench on Embankment SideslopeTrench Length (ft): 70.00Trench Width (ft): 15.58Trench Depth (ft): 5.50Trench Bottom Elev (ft): 100.00Trench Rockfill Porosity (%): 61.00

Constant Infiltration Option Used Infiltration Rate (in/hr): 4.00

************************FLOOD FREQUENCY AND DURATION STATISTICS******************

------SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 1 Number of Links: 0

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 1 Number of Links: 1

Total Predeveloped Recharge During Simulation Model Element Recharge Amount (ac-ft)

Subbasin: Subbasin 1 172.429

Total: 172.429

Total Post Developed Recharge During Simulation Model Element Recharge Amount (ac-ft)

Subbasin: Subbasin 1 0.000 Link: Infiltration Gallery 448.334

Total: 448.334

Total Predevelopment Recharge is Less than Post Developed Average Recharge Per Year, (Number of Years= 158) Predeveloped: 1.091 ac-ft/year, Post Developed: 2.838 ac-ft/year

**********Water Quality Facility Data ************

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 0

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

********** Link: Infiltration Gallery 9 **********

Infiltration/Filtration Statistics-----

Inflow Volume (ac-ft): 448.35 Inflow Volume Including PPT-Evap (ac-ft): 448.35 Total Runoff Infiltrated (ac-ft): 448.33, 100.00% Total Runoff Filtered (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Primary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.01 Secondary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.00 Volume Lost to ET (ac-ft): 0.00 Percent Treated (Infiltrated+Filtered+ET)/Total Volume: 100.00%

Scenario Predeveloped Compliance Subbasin: Subbasin 1

Scenario Postdeveloped Compliance Link: Infiltration Gallery 9

*** **Point of Compliance Flow Frequency Data** *** Recurrence Interval Computed Using Gringorten Plotting Position

Prede	velopment Runoff			opment Runoff	
Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	Tr (Yea	urs) Di	scharge (cfs)	
2-Year	2.131E-02	2-Year		0.000	
5-Year	3.473E-02	5-Year		0.000	
10-Year	4.680E-02	10-Year	•	0.000	
25-Year	5.933E-02	25-Year	•	0.000	
50-Year	7.572E-02	50-Year	•	0.000	
100-Year	8.205E-02	100-Yea	ar	0.000	
200-Year	0.128	200-Year	9.099E-02		
500-Year	0.189	500-Year	0.214		
** Record too	Short to Compute	e Peak Discharge	e for These	Recurrence Interva	ls

**** Flow Duration Performance ****

Excursion at Predeveloped 50%Q2 (Must be Less Than or Equal to 0%):	-100.0% PASS
Maximum Excursion from 50%Q2 to Q2 (Must be Less Than or Equal to 0%):	-99.9% PASS
Maximum Excursion from Q2 to Q50 (Must be less than 10%):	-19.7% PASS
Percent Excursion from Q2 to Q50 (Must be less than 50%):	0.0% PASS

MEETS ALL FLOW DURATION DESIGN CRITERIA: PASS

**** LID Duration Performance ****

Excursion at Predeveloped 8%Q2 (Must be Less Than 0%):	-100.0%	PASS
Maximum Excursion from 8%Q2 to 50%Q2 (Must be Less Than 0%):	-100.0%	PASS

MEETS ALL LID DURATION DESIGN CRITERIA: PASS