Traffic Mitigation Offer to Snohomish County The applicant completes part one and submits it to the city with a completed county traffic worksheet. The city completes part two and sends it to the county. The county completes part three and sends it back to the city.

Part One to be completed by Applicant Basic Development Information				
Name of City in which development is lo	cated Mary	sville		
Name of Proposed Development Undi				
City Project File Number (if known) PA				
Name of Applicant Shale Lindi				
Smokey Point Inve	estments, LLO	2		=
Address of Applicant 4122 Factoria Blvd Proportionate Share Calculation: Choos	a bill barto i	02, 2010, 40, 11	A 98006	
Option A: Based on a percentage of the			(Attach traffic	worksheet )
			(Attaon traine	workaneer
1. The applicable percentage of the Coun	ity's fee:	20%		
2. Net New Average Daily Traffic: 2,60	51ADT			
3. The adopted County impact fee for this	developmen	t: <u>157</u> \$/	ADT	
4. Total Proportionate Share Amount: \$	83,555.40			
Option B: Based on a comprehensive tra     No road improvements are imp    T he following road improveme     summarized below.	pacted. Henc	e, proportionate	share amount	t is zero.
List by Names/Description the Impacted County Projects (attach other pages if necessary)	County Project ID#	PHTs Impacting Project	Capacity Cost per PHT	Proportionate Share Obligation per Impacted Project
1.				
2.				
3.				
	of able attac	. f	4 - J 1 4)	•
4. Total Proportionate Share Amount (sum	of obligation:	s for each impac		\$
X Trip Distribution and Assignment if R				
If required, attach AM and PM peak-hour trip whether or not it is required and traffic study		and assignment.	(Attach traffic	c worksheet showing
Mitigation of Other Impacts if Required	d for Develo	pments Genera	ting More tha	n 50 Peak-Hour
Mitigation of Impacts on Level of Service X No impact or not applicable	Mitigatio	n on deperihed in	attached traff	So otudu
		n as described in	rattacheo tran	nc study.
Mitigation of Impacts on Inadequate Road C		a an denerihad in	attack ad tool	Se etudio
X_No impact or not applicable _		n as described ir	n attached tran	nc study.
Mitigation for Impacts on Access or Circulati		n as described ir	n attached trafi	fic study.
X Written Offer				
The Applicant hereby voluntarily agrees to impacts of the proposed development on the of all other impacts as indicated above and BY:	he capacity of	Snohomish Cou	unty roads and	provide mitigation
Signature by Authorized Official of Applic	ant or Author	ized Representa		
Print Name and Title 56911	noli	MANNO	cr	
Instructions to Applicant. Submit this offer, a to the city with your initial application or send Rockefeller M/S 607, Everett WA 98201.	completed c			

Page 1 of 2 Snohomish County Written Offer Form December 2006 Version Part Two: To be completed by the City

Receipt of Written Offer and Attac	chments by City and Routing to County
Name of Proposed Development	Undi Commerce Park
City Project File Number	PA22008
Date Received	01.08.24
City Staffer Assigned to Project	Chris Holland, Planning Manager
Address	501 Delta Avenue
Phone	Marysville, WA 98270 360.363.8207
	and all attachments to Deb Werdal, Snohomish Co. DPW Traffic 07, Everett WA 98201. Send copy to staffer shown above.
BY: Date 01.29.24	Chris Holland
Initialed by City Staffer	Print Name and Title

Part Three: To be completed by Snohom	hish County
Receipt of Offer and Attachments by	Snohomish County and Routing Back to City
Name of Proposed Development Undi	Commerce Park
City Project File Number PA22008	
Received by: Date_02/07/2024	Caleb Duhnke, Engineer II - Traffic Operations
Initialed by County Staffer	Print Name and Title
Snohomish County Mitigation Reque	est to City
Snohomish County has reviewed the and has determined as follows:	e traffic study worksheet and mitigation offer submitted by the applicant
Snohomish County requests that i mitigation offered above as a cond Development. Snohomish County changes in the mitigation payment resulting from TDM or lot-yield adjuthe City.	tition of approval for the agrees to accept t amount shown above proposed development's impacts. The
BY: Caleb Duhnke Signature by Authorized County Staffer	Date 02/07/2024 Caleb Duhnke, Engineer II Print Name and Title
Routing Back to City Instructions to County Send this offer a	ind all attachments to the City Staffer shown in Part Two above.
	-
Sent by: Date	
Initialed by City Staffer	Print Name and Title
Administration West Building located a PDS M/S 604 Attention: Cashier, 3000	ode with payment information): 5601 or check please visit the PDS Cashier located on the 2nd floor of the at 3000 Rockefeller Ave, Everett, WA or send check by post mail to 0 Rockefeller Ave, Everett, WA 98201 ase call the Public Works Customer Service Counter at 425-388-6453.

Page 2 of 2 Snohomish County Written Offer Form December 2006 Version

#### Snohomish County Traffic Worksheet and Traffic Study Requirements for Developments in the City of Marysville

Snohomish County government, through an interlocal agreement (ILA) with the City of Marysville, may request traffic mitigation measures from any new development in the city that impacts roads in the unincorporated county. The City will impose the requested mitigation to the extent that the City determines that the mitigation is reasonably related to the impacts of the development. To determine the impacts, and to determine reasonable mitigation measures, the City of Marysville requires a traffic study from any development in the city that may have impacts on county roads. This 'traffic study' may be as simple as completing sections one and two of the county traffic worksheet below, or having a professional traffic engineer conduct a formal traffic study consistent with the requirements in section three below.

- If a development generates less than ten peak-hour trips and the applicant chooses Option A for mitigation payment (standard payment by percent of county impact fee), then the applicant will generally only have to fill out the first two sections of this traffic worksheet and complete a mitigation offer (see section four).
- However, if a development generates more than ten peak-hour trips, or if the applicant chooses Option B for mitigation payment (comprehensive impact analysis), then the applicant will have to fill out the first section of this worksheet, complete a separate traffic study consistent with the requirements in section three, and complete a mitigation offer (see Section Four).
- Applicants should submit all documents to the City as part of their initial submittal.
- Traffic study requirements for impacts on county roads are based on the County's traffic mitigation
  ordinance (Chapter 30.66B) and the city/county ILA. At the end of this document find references to the
  county contacts and county web site (sources for may of the documents related to traffic mitigation).
- Following review of the documents submitted, the County may request supplemental information and analysis as necessary to determine the impacts of the development in accordance with the city/county ILA. The City will require the proposed development to submit the supplemental information and analysis to the extent that the City determines that it is necessary to determine the impacts of the development.

#### Section One (1) Worksheet General Information

1.	Name of Proposed Development	Undi Commerce Park
	· · · ·	

City Development File Number (if known) PA 22008

Name, Address and Phone Number of Applicant <u>Shale Undi</u>, (425) 221-5118

Smokey Point Investments, LLC
4122 Factoria Blvd. SE, Suite 402
Bellevue, WA 98006

3. Development Site Address approx. 14700 Smokey Point Blvd NE & 3700 152nd St NE, Marysville

4. Is it a residential or commercial development? Commercial

- 5. Description of Development (size and specific type) <u>815,484 SF of industrial park</u> (7,560 square feet of office to be demolished)
- 6. How many new vehicle trips are expected to be generated by the proposed development? (For many common types of developments this information can be provided by the city or the county. For more complex developments trip generation may have to be determined under section three below)

	317	AM Peak Hour	316	PM Peak Hour	2,661	Average Daily	Trips (	AD'
--	-----	--------------	-----	--------------	-------	---------------	---------	-----

7. Proportionate Share Impact Mitigation: All applicants have two options in determining the amount of their traffic mitigation payment:

X For determining the amount based on a percentage of the county fee go to section two.

\_\_\_\_ For determining the amount based on a comprehensive traffic study go to section three.

#### Section Two (2) Proportionate Share Determined by Percentage of County Impact Fee

or

#### 2(a) Calculation of Payment Amount

<ol> <li>Standard default estimated</li> </ol>
percentage of trips impacting the
City streets based on subareas


2. Other Percentage: (Note: See author's qualifications in section three below.) Estimated percentage of trips impacting county roads from

(See below)  $20 \frac{9}{0}$ 

attached trip distribution: \_\_\_\_\_ %

Sub-Area ID #	* City Subarea Description	Residential Developments	Commercial Developments		
CI-MA-1	North of 136th ST SE.	20%	20%		
CI-MA-2	North of 100th ST NE and South of 136th ST SE.	20%	20%		
CI-MA-3	North of 76th ST NE, South of 100th ST SE, and West of 51st AV NE.	25%	25%		
CI-MA-4	North of 76th ST NE, South of 100th ST SE, and East of 51st AV NE.	30%	30%		
CI-MA-5	South of 76th ST NE.	15%	10%		

\* Note: Boundaries are either street centerlines or imaginary extensions of street centerlines in places where the actual streets do not exist.



3. Development New Average Daily Trip Generation (ADT) 2,661

4. Type of Development (Residential or Commercial) Commercial

5. County Commercial Fee Rate \$ 157 6. County Residential Fee Rate \$ (Note: Consistent with county code and the ILA, developments pay the rate in effect at the time of their submittal. As of 07/13/11 the rates were \$39 for commercial developments and \$46 for residential developments. Through ordinance, the County Council can change these rates at any time, so consult with the County or look at Snohomish County Code 30.66B.330 to find the latest fee rates.)

7. Calculation of Proportionate Share Impact Mitigation

 $\frac{20\%}{\#1 \text{ or } \#2 \text{ above:}} \times \frac{2,661}{\#3 \text{ above:}} \times \frac{157}{\#5 \text{ or } \#6 \text{ above:}} = \frac{\$.83,555.40}{\text{ proportionate share}}$ 

Page 2 of 4, County Traffic Worksheet for Developments in Marysville

#### 2(b) Determining whether or not an additional traffic study is necessary

Will the development generate more than 10 peak-hour trips or are there other impacts that need to be addressed (e.g., level of service, safety, or access and circulation)

- No. Skip section three and go to section four.
- X Yes. Read the introduction to section three and skip to section 3(b).

#### Section Three (3) Traffic Study Requirements

Introduction: This section outlines requirements for traffic studies for impacts on County roads. If an applicant chooses (or is required) to complete a traffic study, then it should be submitted along with this worksheet and a mitigation offer. (Note on Author's Qualifications: A traffic study under this section must be conducted by an engineer licensed to practice in the state of Washington with special training and experience in traffic engineering and, preferably, membership in the institute of transportation engineers. For individuals/firms not on the City's approved list, the developer will provide, with the traffic study, the credentials of the individual or firm performing the traffic study certifying compliance with these qualifications.)

### 3(a) Proportionate share impact mitigation based on comprehensive traffic study

- Development's Trip Generation and Distribution. Determine the PM peak-hour trip generation and distribution for the development consistent with Section 3(b) below.
- 2. Impacted Improvements. Determine which of the road sections with planned improvements in the county's impact fee cost basis (Transportation Needs Report Appendix D) are impacted by three or more development-generated *directional* PM peak hour trips (PM PHT).
- Current Counts. For each impacted improvement, provide current traffic counts to determine the PM PHT.
- 4. Reserve Capacity. Determine "reserve capacity" for each impacted improvement by subtracting the current PM PHT from the maximum service volume (MSV) for the existing facility. Reserve capacity is set to zero if current PM PHT exceeds the MSV. For MSVs see County DPW Rule 4224.
- 5. New Capacity. New capacity is the incremental increase in PHT that could be accommodated with the planned improvement. Determine the new capacity of each impacted improvement by subtracting the current MSV from the future MSV after the improvement.
- 6. Chargeable Capacity. For each impacted improvement, add the reserve capacity to the new capacity.
- Final Adjusted Cost. Find the cost of each impacted improvement and make any adjustments used by the County for tax credits (see Transportation Needs Report Appendix D).
- 8. Capacity Cost per Peak-Hour Trip. For each impacted improvement, determine the capacity cost per PM PHT by dividing the final adjusted improvement cost by the chargeable capacity.
- 9. Traffic Impacts. From step one above, take the *total* number of PM PHT (in both directions) impacting each planned improvement.
- Proportionate Share. For each impacted improvement, determine the proportionate share impact mitigation by multiplying the capacity cost per peak-hour trip by the number of PM PHT impacting the improvement.

#### 3(b) Trip Generation and AM and PM Peak Hour Trip Distribution and Assignment

Calculate AM, PM and Daily trip generation consistent with the ITE Trip Generation Handbook and Snohomish County Public Works Rule 4220. Determine the trip distribution and assignments consistent with the County's document titled "Format for Trip Distributions" (available at County web site, see below).

- Within the developments transportation service area (TSA) the distributions will be carried out to each key intersection at which the approach or departure volumes on any leg have three (3) or more peak hour trips. Get the most current list of key intersections on the web site described below. Trips should be distributed onto the road system as it is expected to be in six years.
- The distribution should be a schematic map showing the broad distributions of trips in terms of percentages on different roads. Show all City boundaries.
- The assignment should be a schematic map with the impacted key intersections identified by ID# and turning movements for each shown in separate diagrams on the same page or on different pages. The assignment should also be presented in tabular form listing each intersection by intersection ID#, and the number of trips at each movement.

#### 3(c) Additional Analysis for Developments Generating More Than Fifty (50) Peak Hour Trips

For large developments (i.e., those generating more than 50 peak-hour trips), the County may request mitigation for impacts on the level of service of County roads, documented safety locations (the County calls such locations "inadequate road conditions" or "IRCs"), and access or circulation. The traffic study requirements below are intended to disclose impacts. Based on this information the County may request through the City that the applicant provide additional information showing possible mitigation measures. If any off-site improvements were needed for mitigation the County would work with the applicant to determine requirements for right-of-way, construction plans, right-of-way use permits, construction/maintenance bonds, and other issues.

#### Impacts on Level of Service (LOS) of County Arterials

Contact Snohomish County Public Works for the most current list of arterial units in arrears and critical arterial units. Identify any arterial units in arrears or critical arterial units impacted by three or more directional peak-hour trips.

#### Impacts on Inadequate Road Conditions

Contact Snohomish County Public Works for a list of the current IRCs. Identify any IRCs impacted by three or more peak-hour trips. Note: Unlike LOS impacts in which at least three or more peak hour trips have to be added in one direction to require disclosure (e.g., 3 westbound), for IRCs, any three peak hour trips added to IRC locations are considered an impact for which disclosure is necessary (e.g., 2 westbound plus 1 eastbound).

#### Impacts on Access or Circulation

The County may request improvements to existing roads to provide safe and efficient access and/or circulation. In some instances, the County may request provisions for future County roads identified in the Comprehensive Plan or in Small Area Transportation Studies. If so, the County will request specific additional information through the City.

#### Section Four (4) Traffic Mitigation Offer to Snohomish County

The applicant should complete a traffic mitigation offer to Snohomish County that summarizes the mitigation identified in the county traffic worksheet and any additional traffic study. This will facilitate timely review of the development and processing of the application. The form to use for the mitigation offer is titled "Traffic Mitigation Offer to Snohomish County." This form is typically provided to all applicants along with this traffic study checklist. In addition, copies are available from the county contacts or the Snohomish County web site shown below.

#### **Additional Information**

#### County Web Site

Snohomish County Public Works has a web site with many documents related to traffic studies and mitigation requirements for developers. From the Snohomish County Home Page go to:

Departments/Public Works/Divisions/TES/ProgramPlanning/3066B

#### **County Contacts**

Elbert Esparza, Snohomish County DPW Traffic, 3000 Rockefeller M/S 607, Everett WA 98201, (425) 388-3184, elbert.esparza@snoco.org

# Appendix C

Trip Generation Calculations

## **Undi Commerce Park**

## Weekday Trip Generation Estimate

				Direction	nai Split '	Vehic	le Trip Gener	ration
and Use	Size / Units	ITE LUC <sup>2</sup>	Trip Rate <sup>1</sup>	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Total
DAILY	CONTRACT, CARLES							
roposed Uses:								
ndustrial Park	815,484 GFA	130	3.37	50%	50%	1,374	1,374	2,748
<u>ess Existing Use:</u>								
Office	7,560 SF	710	EQN	50%	50%	-44	-43	-87
			C.	nee Desily Trime	Generated =	1,374	1,374	2,748
			Gi		xisting Trips =	-44	-43	-87
			Tota		t Daily Trips =	1.330	1,331	2,661
AM PEAK HOUK	Constant Party of Constant	_	Tota	The posed inte	Today mps-	1,000	1,001	A,001
Proposed Uses:								
ndustrial Park	815.484 GFA	130	0.40	81%	19%	264	62	326
		100	0.10	01,0		204	01	020
ess Existing Use:								
Office	7,560 SF	710	1.16	86%	14%	-8	-1	-9
						-		
			Gross AM Pe	ak Hour Trips	Generated =	264	62	326
				Less E	xisting Trips =	-8	-1	-9
			Total Propose	d Net AM Pea	k Hour Trips =	256	61	317
M PEAK HOUK	Provide Car							
roposed Uses:								
ndustrial Park	815,484 GFA	130	0.40	21%	79%	68	258	326
ess Existing Use:								
Office	7,560 SF	710	EQN	16%	84%	-2	-8	-10
			Gross PM Pe		Generated =	68	258	326
					xisting Trips =	-2	-8	-10
			<b>Total Propose</b>	d Net PM Pea	k Hour Trips =	66	250	316

<u>Notes:</u> ' GFA = Gross Floor Area.

<sup>2</sup> Land Use Code and trip rates based on ITE *Trip Generation Manual* , 10th Edition, 2017.

<sup>3</sup> Truck trip rates based on ITE Trip Generation Manual , 10th Edition Supplement, 2020.

## Appendix E

Snohomish County Key Intersection Impacts

\_


Table E1
AM Peak Hour Trip Assignment at Snohomish County Key Intersections
Koy


Key Intersection												
ID#	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
67	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
71	0	9	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	9	0	0
75	0	18	0	2	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
81	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	26	0	1	7	0
82	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	13	0	1	3	0
83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	4	0
84	2	0	5	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	8
85	0	4	0	0	18	0	0	2	0	0	8	0
98	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	0
296	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
298	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
300	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
370	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
466	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
475	0	0	9	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

### Table E2

## PM Peak Hour Trip Assignment at Snohomish County Key Intersections


Key Intersection												
ID#	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
67	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
71	0	3	0	0	10	7	0	0	0	2	0	0
75	0	5	0	7	17	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
81	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	5	25	0
82	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	12	0
83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	17	0
84	8	0	20	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	2
85	0	17	0	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	2	0
98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	]	0	2	3	0
296	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
298	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
300	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
466	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
475	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0






**Appendx E2:** AM Peak Hour Project Trip Assignment at Snohomish County Key Intersections







**Appendix E4:** PM Peak Hour Project Trip Assignment at Snohomish County Key Intersections



