

MINUTES RECAP

MARYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL MEETING

NOVEMBER 9, 1998

11200
00271CALL TO ORDER:

7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL:Councilmembers Herman,
Pedersen & Wright excusedMINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS:

1. City Council Meeting 11/2/98

Approved

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

CONSENT AGENDA:1. Set a Public Hearing Date of Dec.
7, 1998 for 152nd St. Annexation;
60% Petition and Prezone.

Continued to 11/23/98

2. Moved to New Business.

3. Approval of 11/9/98 Claims in the
Amount of \$1,166,208.26; paid by
check nos. 41757 through 41967.

Approved

4. Approval of October, 1998 Payroll
in the Amount of \$738,897.67; paid
by check nos. 32440 through 32804
with check nos. 32438, 32439, 32441,
32442, 32564, 32566, and 32567 void.

Approved

5. Approval of New Liquor License
Application for Marysville Oriental
Store, 1092 State Ave., Marysville.

Approved

6. Approval of Liquor License Renewals
for Fred Meyer, Allen Creek Thrift-
way, Home Plate Bar & Deli, and
Hunter's Corner.

Approved

STAFF'S BUSINESS:MAYOR'S BUSINESS:CALL ON COUNCILMEMBERS:PUBLIC HEARINGS:1. Closed Appeal Hearing; Preliminary
Plat and RezoneRemanded back to Hearing
Examiner but allowing
existing fence to stay;
public hrg. to be reopenedPRESENTATION:1. Drug Community Coalition Poster
Contest Winners

Ryan Jones and Blaine Wood

CURRENT BUSINESS:

None

NEW BUSINESS:1. Professional Services Agreement
Approval Request with Frank Church
Assoc. dba CNJA Architects for
Design Specs & Construction Docu-
ments for Cedarcrest Golf Course
Clubhouse Addition & Approve
Budget AmountApproved; current pro's
contract to be reviewed2. Utility Variance for 62 Sewer
Connections; Jess A. Darling;
3327 Sunnyside Blvd.; UV 98-005Not Approved
(2-2 Tie Vote)3. Util. Var. Sewer Only; Gary Pettis;
1016 Ash St.; UV 98-024

Approved 4-0

4. Set Public Hearing Date of 12/7/98
for Comprehensive Sanitary Sewerage
Plan.

Approved 4-0

ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS:

None

LEGAL MATTERS:

None

ADJOURNMENT:

9:22 p.m.

MARYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

NOVEMBER 9, 1998

7:00 p.m.

Council Chambers

Present: Dave Weiser, Mayor
Councilmembers:
Donna Wright, Mayor Pro Tem (excused)
Brett Roark
NormaJean Dierck
Donna Pedersen (excused)
Mike Leighan
Otto Herman, Jr. (excused)
Shirley Bartholomew
Administrative Staff:
Dave Zabell, City Administrator
Grant Weed, City Attorney
Ed Erickson, Finance Director
Ken Winckler, Public Works Director
Gloria Hirashima, City Planner
Owen Carter, City Engineer
Robin Nelson, Project Manager
Robert Carden, Police Chief
Wanda Iverson, Recording Secretary

00272

CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor Weiser called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led us in the flag salute.

ROLL CALL:

City Administrator Zabell called the roll and all members were present/absent as indicated above.

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS:

1. City Council Meeting of 11/2/98.

Councilmember Dierck moved and Councilmember Leighan seconded to approve the 11/2/98 minutes as presented. Passed unanimously.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION FOR NON-AGENDA ITEMS: None.

CONSENT AGENDA:

1. Set a Public Hearing Date of Dec. 7, 1998 for 152nd St. Annexation; 60% Petition and Prezone.
2. Moved to New Business.
3. Approval of 11/9/98 Claims in the Amount of \$1,166,208.26; paid by check nos. 41757 through 41967.
4. Approval of October, 1998 Payroll in the Amount of \$738,897.67; paid by check nos. 32440 through 32804 with check nos. 32438, 32439, 32441, 32442, 32564, 32566, and 32567 void.
5. Approval of New Liquor License Application for Marysville Oriental Store, 1092 State Avenue, Marysville.
6. Approval of Liquor License Renewals for Fred Meyer, Allen Creek Thriftway, Home Plate Bar & Deli, and Hunter's Corner.

Councilmember Bartholomew moved and Councilmember Dierck seconded to approved Consent Agenda Items 3, 4, 5 & 6. Passed 4-0.

Councilmember Bartholomew moved and Councilmember Roark seconded to approve Consent Agenda Item #1. Tied (failed) 2-2 with Councilmembers Dierck and Leighan against.

Councilmember Bartholomew moved and Councilmember Leighan seconded to carry Item #1 over to 11/23/98. Passed 4-0.

STAFF BUSINESS:

Chief Carden reported the police association has been working in the neighborhood, helping people with various projects and if anyone knows of anyone who needs this service (fixup projects, etc.), to let the police chief/association know.

Finance Director Erickson reported the state examiners are on site.

Councilmember Bartholomew asked if she could be included in the exit interview process with the state examiners and Mayor Weiser said he would arrange for that.

City Administrator Zabell reminded Council that City Hall will be closed for Veteran's Day, 11/11/98.

City Attorney Weed reported on the DUI impound laws presentation he attended last week, noting there are 13 new bills and a lot of changes. He said some of the changes go into effect 1/1/99 which require cities to adopt their own ordinances; he is working on these in between other matters and will try and get draft ordinances before City Council as soon as possible. He noted the City of Seattle has model ordinances and he will be obtaining copies of those as well as developing new ones for Marysville.

He also reported he attended a WCIA presentation on land use laws and changes as well as sexual harassment laws and risk management situations, eg. such as the mudslides in Kelso that swept houses away.

Councilmember Dierck asked what would happen in Marysville in a similar situation and City Attorney Weed said in Kelso, they had a long history of unstable ground and they are "pointing fingers" back and forth, between the city, developers, etc. He said it depends on the circumstances in each case and they are caught up in a massive amount of record requests, eg. insurance carriers, private citizens and have infrastructure damage to remedy, etc.

Public Works Director Winckler noted there is a Utility Committee meeting Thursday, 11/12/98 at 7:30 a.m. at Public Works.

MAYOR'S BUSINESS:

Mayor Weiser said he received a letter from the Snohomish County Tourism Board who are applying for a grant to put together a summit on small and medium size cities on tourism, scheduled for the first part of May, 1999 and Marysville has been invited to participate. He asked for the Council's concurrence to supply the necessary letter; consensus.

CALL ON COUNCILMEMBERS:

Councilmember Leighan asked about the 67th & Grove intersection, noting there is some confusion about turn lanes, etc. He asked about the time line for putting the signal in and Project Manager Nelson said the poles are on site and the contractor should be out there by December 1.

Councilmember Leighan said he would like to see some striping put in, in the meantime and Project Manager Nelson said they would take a look at it.

Councilmember Leighan reported he attended an Economic Development Committee meeting regarding downtown revitalization and he will copy of the material he received for the rest of the Council's information.

Councilmember Bartholomew said she heard the telecast on the new DUI laws and asked if the City should be looking at putting aside extra budget money with the additional requirements under the new laws.

City Attorney Weed said it's very difficult to estimate this until we get the new laws implemented but in the meantime, he suggested a meeting with the police chief, finance director and himself to discuss this. He said it will depend on how many people are picked up with suspended licenses, how many vehicles need to be impounded and the City is going to have to get a handle on it as soon as possible. He concluded that it would probably be a good idea to have some "set aside" money for contingencies related to the new laws.

City Administrator Zabell suggested including the court administrator in that meeting for her projections.

Councilmember Bartholomew noted they are raising the booking fee as well as jail fees and Chief Carden said one of the largest impacts is going to be with home detention plus the Police Dept. is going to be authorizing one more officer.

PUBLIC HEARING:

1. Closed Appeal Hearing; Preliminary Plat and Rezone; Valley View Estates; PA 9801001.

City Attorney Weed explained the process, that Council is only to review proceedings of 8/27/98 that were held before the Hearing Examiner and the appeal that followed. He outlined the procedure tonight:

- 1) Appearance of Fairness inquiry;
- 2) Brief staff report;
- 3) 5 minute presentation from the appellant;
- 4) 5 minute presentation from the applicant;
- 5) City Council questions;
- 6) City Council deliberations/decision;
- 7) Direction.

He asked if any of the Council wished to make a declaration under the Appearance of Fairness Doctrine and no one responded. He then asked if either the applicant or the appellant wished to challenge any member of the City Council and there were no challenges. He asked the Planning Director to give a brief staff report.

Planning Director Hirashima reviewed the information in the packets, noting there are two issues here: Appeal of Condition 12 & 13. With regard to #13, she said it was clarifying that the existing fence fulfills Condition 13 requirements. She referred to Exhibits 7, 8, 9; appellant's arguments and staff responses, Exhibits 10 & 11. She stated the applicant is asking that the temporary status of the cul de sac be allowed to remain indefinitely. She said staff is arguing that it was not to be used as a permanent cul de sac and would make one of the lots non-conforming.

She noted that originally, it was agreed that the road would be extended into Mr. Royal's property for access. The applicant has responded that because of slope in Lots 1 & 2, the construction costs would be substantial. The City Engineer has taken a look and responded that there is a discrepancy in the construction estimate between the estimate provided by the applicant and what the City Engineer has calculated, she said. She added the variance recommended was to allow the applicant to construct a permanent cul de sac without sidewalks and this was supported by the Hearing Examiner.

Councilmember Leighan asked if the right of way would end at the back side of the curb and City Planner Hirashima said yes, it would.

Mayor Weiser asked about permanent vs temporary status on the cul de sac.

City Attorney Weed explained the existing temporary cul de sac is more of an easement and the property has probably shifted from the ownership of the applicant to the builder. The new homeowner now has a non-conforming house so the concern is the house is 5' from the edge of pavement, he said.

Councilmember Dierck pointed out that the Fire Dept. has asked for the cul de sac to meet City standards and City Planner Hirashima explained that would be a 40' wide turn around and she referred to information in the packets.

Councilmember Dierck asked if the turn around is unacceptable.

00273

City Planner Hirashima said actually the turn around is 40' wide but the issue is that it was constructed as a temporary turn around and was to be moved to the north; it does not have required setbacks and needs to be in a public easement.

Councilmember Leighan asked if there were houses on Lots 70 & 71 both and City Planner Hirashima said she believed there are.

City Engineer Carter stated the house on Lot 71 is set back significantly from Lot 70; he referred to the packets and noted the Lot 71 house is only 5' back from the edge of pavement.

Councilmember Roark said he sees a large difference between the City Engineer's estimate and the estimate from Plats Plus.

City Engineer Carter said a significant amount of the difference is because of the export of material and he explained he took information from the topo and Tuscany's plat maps. A lot of the items in the Plats Plus estimate were going to be required whether they do the work on the cul de sac or not, he said and he added that he feels comfortable that the "export" amount can be retained and used on site.

Councilmember Dierck asked if a culvert would be needed and City Planner Hirashima said no.

Councilmember Dierck asked what type of stream goes through the property and City Planner Hirashima said a Type III stream has been confirmed.

Councilmember Roark asked if the fence is still part of the appeal and City Planner Hirashima said yes, but staff is amenable to going along with the existing chain link fence.

Mayor Weiser asked for the 5 Minute Summation from the Appellant and Mr. Trepanier said he wished Jerry Royal to go first.

Jerry Royal, 8111 84th St. NE, addressed Council and introduced himself as the applicant. He explained the road was not originally constructed to give him access, it was to go through to 88th and the Tuscany maps were incorrect. There is much more of a grade than is shown on the maps, he explained. He said he took Ernie Berg up there in 1985 and Mr. Berg agreed with Mr. Royal. Mr. Royal talked to Tuscany and they were too far along to make any changes, he said. He said the City knew about this and did nothing about it--they let that house be built. He added he has been working with the City since 1985 and he just doesn't know what to do. Owen Carter's estimate doesn't take into consideration moving a fire hydrant and light standard, it is going to be on a sensitive area and requires a lot of additional care and he can't afford to build it, he said. If he doesn't build, he said it will just be left a sensitive area and he feels it's the City's fault for allowing this to happen. He said he has pictures and noted he will lose one and maybe both lots if the cul de sac has to go on his property and the amount of space the cul de sac takes will take too much room.

Councilmember Dierck asked about the City's mistake.

Mr. Royal said 76th St. was supposed to be a permanent link to 88th; he told them it was too steep and back in 1985 they finally decided it was not going to be a through street. He said it should have been made a permanent cul de sac at that time; they could have stopped Tuscany at that time. The one person who moved his house back is OK, he said.

Councilmember Roark asked if it is his contention that he has to move the fire hydrant and Mr. Royal said yes, it was put in in the wrong location and Mr. Carter wants him (Mr. Royal) to make more room on the lower lot.

Councilmember Roark said he was trying to understand the high cost for exporting material and difference in figures.

Councilmember Roark said he was trying to understand the high cost for exporting material and difference in figures in the file presented by the City Engineer and Plats Plus for constructing a new cul de sac.

There was then considerable discussion about Mr. Trepanier and Mr. Royal both being the applicant and the appellant, with Mr. Trepanier actually Mr. Royal's representative. Councilmember Leighan said he would like to hear from Mr. Trepanier tonight, but suggested the procedure be made more clear in the future.

It was the consensus to allow Mr. Trepanier 5 minutes.

Ted Trepanier, Appellant, addressed Council. He said the staff has done a good job of reviewing the file. He asked why the cul de sac which meets fire dept. requirements couldn't just remain as is. He noted the total report shows that the cul de sac can stay until the road is extended and the City has allowed a driveway under a building permit this summer--that house is only 5' from the cul de sac and his driveway is into the cul de sac now. He noted it is a full cul de sac and so he thinks Mr. Royal should be allowed to keep it the way it is. He went on to talk about the Plats Plus estimated which he said is based on a 50' radius but the big difference is because the City Engineer didn't realize TY stands for truck yards and not square yards. He said furthermore, he doesn't think an environmentally sensitive person wants to see this 33% steep slope filled. He added that all the driveways, curbs, gutters and sidewalks are going to have to be redone if the cul de sac is moved.

Mr. Trepanier stated they had a preapplication meeting in January, 1996 and the Public Works representative said if the conditions said the temporary cul de sac could stay, the City would go along with it. He said the bottom line is there is 55' of right of way and there are some alternative types of turn arounds; the applicant is willing to work with the fire dept. and Mr. Royal only wants to develop two lots but with the change in the cul de sac, he will have no lots. He added that there is extra dirt that has to be exported; it can't be used on site.

Councilmember Roark explained he is in construction, too and asked how they came up with 3800 yards.

Mr. Trepanier said they have 2:1 back slopes plus another 2'. He noted Plats Plus does a lot of work in Marysville.

Councilmember Roark asked him what the applicant is willing to do here.

Mr. Trepanier suggested a hammerhead turn around and said that would be far less expensive and would leave Mr. Royal some building area. He said that would be acceptable, although they had just basically wanted the cul de sac to be left as is, to be allowed, but maybe there is an alternative that can be worked out.

Mayor Weiser asked Mr. Trepanier for an apology to Mr. Carter concerning the comment about Mr. Carter's lack of education in not being able to figure out the Plats Plus terminology on their estimate. The Mayor said he didn't think that comment was called for and wished Mr. Trepanier to supply the Mayor with a copy of his written apology.

The Mayor closed the Public Hearing/5 Minute Summation Portion at 7:56 p.m.

Councilmember Leighan asked about the intent of extension of the road and City Planner Hirashima said it was a stub out road, proposed to be extended.

Councilmember Roark asked if it was actually intended to be extended and City Engineer Carter explained the Tuscany Plat showed that that road could be extended at some future date--it

turned out to be incorrect information but it is still on the final plat.

City Planner Hirashima said they actually had to extend one road and in reviewing this plat, 76th Ave. is going to be used by Mr. Royal only. It will not be extended to the north, she said.

Councilmember Leighan referred to information in the packets, Exhibit 59, a 1995 letter.

City Engineer Carter said the intent at that time was to extend but because of steep slopes and sensitive areas, it eventually turned out that it could only result in a cul de sac. He added that the fire hydrant could be moved by City crews if the existing cul de sac needs to be moved.

Councilmember Roark noted that according to earlier testimony it was intended to go through.

City Planner Hirashima said yes, and it was approved as a temporary cul de sac.

Councilmember Roark asked if moving of the hydrant and lot was included in City Engineer Carter's estimate and City Engineer Carter said it was not included.

Councilmember Leighan asked about the sidewalk extension other than in the cul de sac and City Planner Hirashima said there were none required as it was on private property.

City Engineer Carter explained that once the temporary cul de sac was removed, sidewalks were to be put in.

Councilmember Bartholomew said it appears it is impossible to extend the road.

City Engineer Carter referred to a 9/15/98 engineering drawing that shows a 40' radius, in the file.

Councilmember Bartholomew asked if the fire dept. has signed off on this and City Planner Hirashima said they would approve an acceptable turn around and they don't care if it is on public or private property; they would have to sign off on the construction plans.

Councilmember Roark asked if this would require some purchase of additional property and City Planner Hirashima said it depends on how the cul de sac is skewed. She added that the City Engineer has submitted information in Exhibit 2 but the City did not review a hammerhead cul de sac.

Mayor Weiser asked if the cul de sac would ever become permanent and City Planner Hirashima said under the current situation, the adjacent property owner is allowed to use the cul de sac and it was not the City's intent of construction sidewalks.

Councilmember Roark asked which lot the applicant would lose if he went with the required setbacks and putting in a cul de sac as requested by the City.

City Planner Hirashima said which option the applicant is going to use would need to be reviewed first.

Councilmember Roark asked if a hammerhead is feasible and there was discussion about whether this is permitted discussion. City Attorney Weed said another alternative such as the hammerhead can be considered through remanding it to the Hearing Examiner. The hammerhead option really is not the function of the City Council to determine, without the staff having reviewed that alternative as yet, he said.

Councilmember Roark moved to remand this to the Hearing Examiner, to take a look at the option of building a hammerhead access to the 2 lots, to get fire dept. input on this and reopen the public hearing but to approve keeping the fence as is as per staff recommendation as well as having the City move the fire hydrant, if necessary (at City expense). Councilmember Leighan seconded and the motion passed 4-0.

PRESENTATION:

1. Drug Community Coalition Poster Contest Winners.

Marysville Seniors Against Crime Coordinator, Loree Parker, announced the two winners: Blaine Wood from MJHS and Ryan Jones from Tulalip Elementary. He then introduced Susan Ukaraga, a Tulalip Elementary School teacher, who has Ryan Jones in her class. She thanked the Drug Coalition for allowing elementary students to participate in the contest this year and thanked Boeing for paying for framing the posters. She introduced Ryan Jones (age 7) who showed his winner poster with the theme "Play with your kids, not drugs and alcohol."

It was noted that the first, second and third place poster winners nationwide were all from Marysville!

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Professional Services Agreement Approval Request with Frank Church Associates dba DNJA Architects for Design Specifications and Construction Documents for Cedarcrest Golf Course Clubhouse Addition and Approve Budget Amendment.

Project Manager Nelson reviewed the agenda bill on behalf of Parks & Recreation Director Ballew who was unable to attend tonight's meeting due to a medical emergency. It was noted the PSA was negotiated with the consultants at \$81,423. City Administrator Zabell explained the budget amendment of \$150,000.

Councilmember Bartholomew declared that she is an employee of the Kayak Point Golf Course holding company and will not be voting on any motion concerning this.

Councilmember Roark moved to approve the request with a condition that the current golf pro contract be fully reviewed in conjunction with the above professional services agreement. Councilmember Leighan seconded and the motion passed 2-1 with Councilmember Dierck against and Councilmember Bartholomew abstaining.

2. Utility Variance for 62 Sewer Connections; Jess A. Darling; 3327 Sunnyside Blvd., Marysville; UV 98-005.

Public Works Director Winckler reviewed the agenda bill.

City Planner Hirashima reported she followed up on a discussion of the RUSA Committee regarding the requirement to annex in the Lake-wood area. She said to be consistent, it should be discussed by City Council. A concern that staff has is that this area (3327 Sunnyside Blvd.) will create a leap frogging effect and extending sewer to this development would create a pocket of urban development not adjacent to existing improvements, she said. She added that another point is that the density should be consistent with the City; also, Sunnyside Blvd. has been designated as a streetscape arterial under the Marysville Comprehensive Plan and street standards, landscaping requirements, curbs, would all need to be consistent as part of the conditions, i.e. City standards, as it's very close to becoming part of the City. She noted there is no annexation in progress for this proposal but she would like Council to discuss whether annexation would be a requirement in order to allow the sewer to be extended.

Bud Darling, applicant, 1916 Grove St., addressed Council. He said he believed annexation is actually a long way out on this one because this is almost a mile away from City limits. He noted that he did a little research and found out that 44% of the sewer applicants are outside City limits right now. He added that he originally thought of a pump station on Soper Hill but when he heard they were extending the sewer out, he thought it would be more feasible to have the City extend sewer. Thirteen out of 16 people are not objecting, three out of 16 he doesn't know yet; they would like to see what is proposed, he said. He said they had proposed to go across private property with no recovery until such time as they sell or develop; also the applicant would pay for tests as well as sewer assessment for the private property they cross.

Mike Papa, 8127 54th Dr. NE, addressed Council. He asked how this type of development is handled, policywise.

City Planner Hirashima said development should progress in a sequential manner and "leap frogging" is not generally encouraged. She said there was a significant discussion about this at the Planning Commission level regarding pockets of urban development.

Mr. Papa referenced Mr. Darling's comment about 44% of the sewer applicants being outside City limits.

City Administrator Zabell said it is actually 4400 connections and most of them are within RUSA. He said the idea was to supply sewer to the "UGA" core area; there have been exceptions such as Lakewood and Marysville West, which have since become part of RUSA.

There was further discussion concerning a comparison of City and RUSA standards, the Sunnyside area possibly being more feasible for an industrial park than the Smokey Point area, sending City inspectors out to areas not within City limits if City standards are required. There was also discussion about the capacity of the sewer lagoon, when a development is new, there is no or low maintenance of the sewer system, no City maintenance of roads if they are outside City limits.

Public Works Director Winckler noted the applicant is looking for a variance approval and commitment from the City for the sewer connections, possibly with certain conditions. In response to a sewer lagoon capacity question, he said the City would have to review capacity in the next 5 years which is what the Comp Plan provides. He added it has been anticipated for double our use at that time.

After further brief discussion, Councilmember Bartholomew moved that since the Utility Committee has recommended the variance based on the construction of Trunk D, to grant the variance subject to staff recommendations:

- Trunk line D extension from MH 16 and beyond to include MH 22 (Phase II, Section A) to be paid by developers on a recovery basis
- Developers required to work with City's consultant to align easements for entire system (for all of Phase II, to include both Sections A and B)
- Developers for Phase II, Section A to pay \$100,000 (guaranteed monthly sewer user fee for all proposed new lots, for one year towards the construction of Phase II, Section B (from MH 22 to MH 36)
- Annexation requirements currently under review by City Planner. Recommendations to City Council forthcoming
- All normal conditions as required by City Code.

Councilmember Leighan seconded and the motion failed (tied) 2-2 with Councilmembers Dierck and Roark against.

Councilmember Bartholomew then moved to continue this item to 11/23/98. Councilmember Leighan seconded and the motion failed again 2-2 with Councilmembers Dierck and Roark against.

3. Utility Variance for Sewer Only; Gary Pettis; 1016 Ash St., Marysville; UV 98-024.

Public Works Director Winckler reviewed the agenda bill and there was some discussion about Housing Hope allowing an easement.

Gary Pettis, 8917 52nd Dr. NE, addressed Council. He said one of the pieces is presently served by sewer and he would vacate that easement in exchange for an easement from Housing Hope; they have a side sewer in place and are agreeable to his hooking up to that, he said.

Councilmember Bartholomew moved to approve the utility variance as per the staff recommendation, to connect to the existing side sewer across Lot 1 (Housing Hope) on an interim basis provided that the applicant participates (paying his fair share to satisfy frontage requirements) in the permanent sanitary sewer system in Ash Avenue, and reconnects to that main when the installation is completed. Councilmember Roark seconded and the motion passed 4-0.

4. Set a Public Hearing Date of Dec. 7, 1998 for Comprehensive Sanitary Sewerage Plan (from Consent Agenda).

Larry Wade, P.E., Hammond Collier Wade Livingstone, highlighted pertinent points of the plan. He said 3 items have been recommended by DOE:

- no extension of sewer outside of RUSA
- Lake Stevens would be allowed to be served by Marysville
- the TMDL Study is to be finalized in 1999.

He briefly reviewed the plan, noting the City's sanitary sewer system is projected to eventually serve a population of 107,000 before requiring further upgrading. He reviewed anticipated schedule for improvements, pump stations, planning areas that will see most of the future growth, treatment and capacity recommendations.

It was noted the agenda bill should read that the Planning Staff recommends approval of the Planning Commission recommendation to approve this and review the Comprehensive Sanitary Sewerage Plan in a year.

Councilmember Roark noted that he has not had a chance to review the plan as yet.

Councilmember Bartholomew moved and Councilmember Dierck seconded to continue this item until Dec. 7, 1998. Passed 4-0.

ORDINANCES & RESOLUTIONS: None.

ADJOURNMENT: 9:22 p.m.

Accepted this 23rd day of November, 1998.



MAYOR



CITY CLERK



RECORDING SECRETARY