

July 10, 2013

Received

To Whom It May Concern,

JUL 11 2013

City of Marysville
Community Development

I'm writing on behalf of the residence at 4333 113th PL NE

Miss Virginia Aho was recently served paperwork depicting different types of nuisances', reciting RCW codes and sections there in. Virginia is 90 years old and she had expressed to me how she felt intimidated at time of service. I would like to see this matter be avoided in the future. If need be of any other dealings about such matter, it should be handled via Gary Aho ^(HENDRICKSON). In these pages received by Virginia, it sets out a variety of nuisances; I believe to be implying this property is in violation of some number of said nuisances. I can understand some to some extent. We are doing everything possible to correct said problems; to meet city ordinances.

To touch a few subjects from paperwork; as for combustibles substances; there is nothing bigger then a five gallon gas can, usually only holding a gallon or two inside, on said property. I believe this to be well within the parameters of chapter 70.74 RCW. There are no containers of any kind in which a child could gain access to, and become locked inside; not having a way to get out on said property; For the sake of child safety, as described under 6.24.050 types of

nuisances'. There are no unused wells, cisterns, or buried containers that would allow access by children, especially being a property posted with no trespassing signs.

From the adjacent frontage street, a few pieces of discarded lumber/salvaged material may be able to be spotted. This problem is being taken care of to the best of the ability of occupants, in a timely fashion. The two sections of loose fencing in the side yard, which is private property, will be reinforced to a manner that is safe and not be a danger to wandering unsupervised children. All other buildings, equipment, e.c.t explained under (6.24.050) are sound and sturdy, not to be a danger to children. There are some home furnishings that will need to be relocated or dealt with properly as not to be seen from any frontage adjacent street. Again this will be taken care of in a timely fashion.

None of the vehicles on said property fall under (MMC 11.36.030) that I could interpret, besides the rule of flat tires or being rested on jacks, and again this can easily be fixed in a timely manner. If overlooked please respond with a more laments term list of problems that need attention. Please provide a list of any unfinished structures. To the best of my knowledge all structures are sturdy

and graded as not to cause erosion to the soil and to prevent any accumulation of water.

As for the long owned boats on the side yard, they are in accordance with set law as in no way do they or could they block any emergency response vehicle from accessing all sides of any building or structure. As for RCW 46.04.655 applies, I don't believe it pertains to this situation. The old International truck that weighs 6000 lbs. belonged to Virginias' late husband and has been on or around property for some 30 years and still runs today. Thus being a sentimental possession to the Aho family. As for all other machinery, I believe complies with MMC title19.

If for any reason we have misinterpreted any part of this or overlooked certain details please respond to Gary/Aho, evening hours preferred, or via mail. Possibly pin-pointing such details overlooked. We are doing everything in our power to comply with MMC title 4 in a timely manner. Thank you for your time and patience in this matter.

Respectfully Yours,

Derek Dauncey
Gary J. Hendrickson
Virginia R. Aho

(where Gary Aho is used ^{D.R.D.}
Replace w/Gary Hendrickson)