Geotechnical Data Report

The Rex Development Marysville, Washington

for Williams Investments LLC

September 11, 2021



Geotechnical Data Report

The Rex Development Marysville, Washington

for Williams Investments LLC

September 11, 2021



554 West Bakerview Road Bellingham, Washington 98226 360.647.1510

Geotechnical Data Report

The Rex Development Marysville, Washington

File No. 22450-004-00

September 11, 2023

Prepared for:

Williams Investments LLC 2515 Colby Avenue Everett, Washington 98201

Attention: Ryan Kilby

Prepared by:

GeoEngineers, Inc. 554 West Bakerview Road Bellingham, Washington 98226 360.647.1510

Aaron Hartvigsen, PE Senior Geotechnical Engineer

J. Robert Gordon, PE Senior Principal

AJH:JRG:leh



Disclaimer: Any electronic form, facsimile or hard copy of the original document (email, text, table, and/or figure), if provided, and any attachments are only a copy of the original document. The original document is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official document of record.



Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE	1
2.0	SITE CONDITIONS	1
2.1.	Geology	1
2.2.	Surface Conditions	1
2.3.	Subsurface Exploration	1
2.4.	Previous Studies	2
2.5.	Subsurface Conditions	2
2	2.5.1. Soil Conditions	2
2	2.5.2. Groundwater	2
3.0	LIMITATIONS	3
4.0	REFERENCES	3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map Figure 2. Site and Exploration Plan Figure 3. Groundwater Level Monitoring Data

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Field Explorations and Laboratory Testing Figure A-1. Key to Explorations
Figures A-2 through A-6. Log of Test Pits
Figure A-7. Sieve Analysis Results
Figure A-8. Atterberg Limits Results
Appendix B. Cone Penetrometer Test Report
Appendix C. Logs from Previous Studies
Appendix D. Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

GeoEngineers, Inc. (GeoEngineers) is pleased to submit our Geotechnical Data Report for "The Rex Development" industrial area that includes two parcels, one in Maysville and one in Arlington, Washington. The site includes parcel number 31052800400100 (16204 51st Avenue NE, Marysville) and parcel number 31052800100700 (16430 51st Avenue NE, Arlington). The project is in preliminary planning for new industrial buildings with associated parking and improvements. A vicinity map showing the project location is provided as Figure 1. The existing site conditions and approximate location of the completed explorations are shown in the Site and Exploration Plan, Figure 2.

The purpose of this data report is to present the available geotechnical site information as part of the planning and design development. Geotechnical design recommendations will be provided as the project concept is further developed.

Our complete scope of services is described in our proposal for the project dated March 17, 2021 which was authorized by Ryan Kilby with Williams Investments LLC on the same date. We completed review of available geologic and geotechnical information related to the site, observing test pits and installing shallow piezometers, and subcontracting cone penetrometer tests (CPTs).

2.0 SITE CONDITIONS

2.1. Geology

Our review of the U.S. Geological Survey map, *Geologic Map of the Arlington West 7.5-Minute Quadrangle Snohomish County, Washington* by James P. Minard indicates that surficial soils at the site consist primarily of recessional outwash deposits of the Marysville Sand Member.

The Marysville Sand Member typically consists of stratified outwash sand with occasional gravel, and isolated areas of silt and clay. The sediments were deposited by meltwater from the stagnating and receding Vashon glacier and are typically medium dense/stiff. We observed recessional outwash deposits in each of the explorations completed on the property.

2.2. Surface Conditions

The development area at the site consists of relatively flat agricultural fields. The elevation changes gradually from approximately 115 feet (NAVD 88) at the north to 105 feet at the south, based on reviewing available Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) information. Wetlands have been identified in the northwest portion of the site. Various farm roads traverse the site. The site is irregularly shaped consisting of multiple parcels bounded by 51st Avenue NE to the east, proposed 156th Street NE to the south, proposed 43rd Avenue to the west and proposed 168th Avenue to the north. Adjacent site uses include residential, retail, rural residential, agricultural, and light industrial. The site is outlined in the attached Figure 2. Existing utilities are typically in adjacent rights-of-way, except for the Olympic pipeline easement which traverses the site at an angle in the southeast/northwest direction.

2.3. Subsurface Exploration

Subsurface soil and groundwater conditions were evaluated by reviewing available information including borings from a previous GeoEngineers study in the area, excavating five test pits, and advancing CPTs. The test pits were completed using an excavator provided by the owner on June 11, 2021. The test pits were



completed to depths ranging from 2 to 5 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs), where they were terminated because of caving in saturated sand. Stainless steel drive-point piezometers were installed at the test pit locations to monitor seasonal groundwater levels. Groundwater pressure transducers were installed in the piezometers and will be monitored quarterly through the wet season. Details of the field exploration program, the test pit logs, and laboratory testing are presented in Appendix A. GeoEngineers subcontracted the completion of five CPTs which were completed to depths of 40.4 to 40.9 feet bgs. The CPT logs are presented in Appendix B. The approximate locations of the test pits and CPTs are shown in Figure 2.

2.4. Previous Studies

We reviewed GeoEngineers' geotechnical report "156th Street NE, 160th Street NE and 51st Avenue NE Improvements, Marysville, Washington" dated September 110, 2018. The report includes several borings and a monitoring well within or immediately adjacent to the project footprint. The relevant boring logs are also shown in Figure 2 and the logs and laboratory data are included in Appendix C.

2.5. Subsurface Conditions

2.5.1. Soil Conditions

Subsurface soil conditions generally consisted of a reworked agricultural layer at the surface of overlying outwash sand with isolated silt, consistent with the mapped geology.

Reworked Agricultural Horizon (Topsoil): The reworked agricultural surface layer (topsoil) typically consisted of loose brown silty sand with occasional gravel, roots, and rootlets. The agricultural layer extended from the surface to approximately $1 \text{ to } 1\frac{1}{2}$ feet bgs in the test pits. The CPTs encountered similar surficial conditions indicating loose/soft soil conditions in the upper 2 feet.

Outwash: Outwash deposits were observed below the topsoil. The outwash deposits typically consist of fine to medium sand with variable amounts of silt, gravel, and cobbles. The fines content of the outwash deposits typically ranged from 1 to 12 percent with occasional sandy silt interbeds observed in some of the explorations. TP-1 encountered a thicker silt layer between 2 and 4 feet bgs. CPTs recorded increasing resistance below the topsoil and encountered medium dense sand to the full depth explored with increased resistance from approximately 35 to 40 feet in CPT-1, CPT-2, and CPT-5. CPT-2 and CPT-4 encountered a 1-foot-thick layer of stiff silt at 31 and 19 feet, respectively.

2.5.2. Groundwater

Groundwater seepage was encountered in all explorations at depths ranging from 2 to 5 feet bgs during test pit excavation and interpreted to range between 1.2 and 1.9 feet in the CPT explorations. Groundwater was typically encountered within the outwash sand material. Based on observations of iron staining in the soil samples, and our understanding of groundwater fluctuation in the project vicinity, we expect that groundwater could rise to near the ground surface during wetter portions of the year. Our explorations were not left open long enough to allow groundwater to stabilize. Rapid groundwater seepage and caving was observed in the outwash deposits during the short time period the test pits were left open.

To determine seasonal groundwater fluctuations and water levels, we installed stainless steel drive-point piezometers and pressure transducers. We will continue to monitor the piezometers quarterly. The data from the recent groundwater monitoring event are presented in Figure 3. The groundwater is influenced by season, precipitation, and other factors.



3.0 LIMITATIONS

We have prepared this geotechnical data report for use by Williams Investments LLC, and other members of the design team for use in planning and permitting for the proposed The Rex Development project. This report is not intended for design purposes; design recommendations will be provided under separate cover.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical practices in this area at the time the report was prepared. No warranty or other conditions express or implied should be understood.

Any electronic form, facsimile, or hard copy of the original document (email, text, table, and/or figure), if provided, and any attachments are only a copy of the original document. The original document is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official document of record.

See Appendix D for additional information regarding the limitations.

4.0 REFERENCES

- GeoEngineers, 2018. "Geotechnical Engineering Services, 156th Street NE, 160th Street NE and 51st Avenue NE Improvements, Marysville, Washington", dated September 110, 2018.
- Minard, James P. 1985. "Geologic Map of the Arlington West 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, Snohomish County, Washington" Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1740.







(WAIVIProjects/22/22450004/GIS/2245000400_Project/2245000400_Project.aprx/VicinityMap Date Exported: 08/27/21 by alarson



Legend

CPT-1 🛦

TP-1 Test Pit by GeoEngineers, Inc., 2021 Cone Penetrometer Test by GeoEngineers, Inc., 2021

B-3 - Boring by GeoEngineers, Inc., 2021

Site Boundary

Notes:

- The locations of all features shown are approximate.
 This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: Background Aerial from Microsoft Bin.g

Projection: Washington State Plane, North Zone, NAD83, US Foot



22450-004-00 Date Exported: 08/26/2021





APPENDIX A Field Explorations and Laboratory Testing

APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATIONS AND LABORATORY TESTING

Field Explorations

Subsurface conditions were evaluated by completing five test pits (TP-1 through TP-5) on June 11, 2021 and subcontracting cone penetrometer tests (CPTs). The test pit excavations were completed to depths ranging from approximately 2 to 5 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs) by using a mini excavator provided by the owner. The CPT drill rig was subcontracted to GeoEngineers. The locations of the explorations are shown in the Site and Exploration Plan, Figure 2. The locations of the explorations were determined by iPad global positioning system (GPS) and were monitored by a geologist from our firm. The locations should be considered accurate to the degree implied by the method used. Ground surface elevations were estimated based on publicly available Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) topography information.

Disturbed soil samples were generally obtained from the sides of the test pits and the bucket of the excavator. The samples were placed in plastic bags to maintain the moisture content and transported back to our laboratory for analysis and testing. The test pits were backfilled with the excavated material upon completion and tamped with the excavator bucket.

The soil test pits were continuously monitored by a geologist from our firm who examined and classified the soils encountered, obtained representative soil samples, observed groundwater conditions, and prepared a detailed log of each exploration. Soils were visually classified in general accordance with ASTM International (ASTM) D-2488-90, which is described in Figure A-1. An explanation of our exploration log symbols is also shown in Figure A-1.

The logs of the test pits completed for the geotechnical evaluation are presented in the attached figures. The exploration logs are based on our interpretation of the field and laboratory data and indicate the various types of soils encountered. They also indicate the depths at which these soils or their characteristics change, although the change might be gradual. If the change occurred between samples in the borings the depth was inferred.

The CPT exploration methodology and logs are presented in Appendix B. Some additional borings completed near the site are presented in Appendix C.

Laboratory Testing

Soil samples obtained from the explorations were transported to our laboratory and examined to confirm or modify field classifications, as well as to evaluate index properties of the soil samples. Representative samples were selected for laboratory testing consisting of the determination of the moisture and fines contents. The tests were performed in general accordance with test methods of ASTM or other applicable procedures.

Moisture Content Testing

Moisture content tests were completed in general accordance with ASTM D 2216 for representative samples obtained from the explorations. The results of these tests are presented on the exploration logs at the depths at which the samples were obtained.



Percent Passing U.S. No. 200 Sieve

Selected samples were "washed" through the U.S. No. 200 mesh sieve to determine the relative percentage of coarse- and fine-grained particles in the soil. The percent passing value represents the percentage by weight of the sample finer than the U.S. No. 200 sieve. These tests were conducted to verify field descriptions and to determine the fines content for analysis purposes. The tests were conducted in general accordance with ASTM D 1140, and the results are shown on the exploration logs in Appendix A at the representative sample depths.

Sieve Analyses

Sieve analyses were performed on selected samples in general accordance with ASTM D 422 to determine the sample grain-size distribution. The wet sieve analysis method was used to determine the percentage of soil greater than the U.S. No. 200 mesh sieve. The results of the sieve analyses were plotted, classified in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), and are presented in Figure A-7.

Atterberg Limits

Atterberg limits were performed on selected samples in general accordance with ASTM D 4318 to determine the plasticity index and liquid limit of clay. The results of the Atterberg limit analyses were plotted and classified in general accordance with USCS and are presented in Figure A-8.



I	MAJOR DIVIS	IONS	SYMBOLS	
	GRAVEL	CLEAN GRAVELS	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES
	AND GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50%	GRAVELS WITH FINES	GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
30113	FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)	GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
ORE THAN 50%	SAND	CLEAN SANDS		WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS
TAINED ON 200 SIEVE	AND SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)	SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING	SANDS WITH FINES	SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)	sc	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
			ML	INORGANIC SILTS, ROCK FLOUR, CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50	CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
SOILS			OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
ORE THAN 50% PASSING IO. 200 SIEVE			МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS SILTY SOILS
	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50	СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
			ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS AND SILTS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY
	HIGHLY ORGANIC	SOILS	PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS
	San 2.4 2.4 Sta Pist Dire Bull Con lowcount is re	mpler Symb inch I.D. split k indard Penetrat Iby tube on ect-Push < or grab tinuous Coring ecorded for dri to advance sa	ool Descriptio parrel tion Test (SPT) g ven samplers as umpler 12 inches	ns the number of (or distance noted).
B bi S "F	lows required ee exploratio P" indicates s	n log for hamn ampler pushed	ner weight and d d using the weigh	rop. It of the drill rig.

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL SYMBOLS

SYM	BOLS	TYPICAL					
GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS					
	AC	Asphalt Concrete					
	сс	Cement Concrete					
	CR	Crushed Rock/ Quarry Spalls					
	SOD	Sod/Forest Duff					
	TS	Topsoil					

TURES		Groundwater Contact
	<u> </u>	Measured groundwater level in exploration, well, or piezometer
R,	Ţ	Measured free product in well or piezometer
Y AYS,		Graphic Log Contact
SILTY		Distinct contact between soil strata
OR	/	Approximate contact between soil strata
		Material Description Contact
		Contact between geologic units
		Contact between soil of the same geologic unit
VITH		Laboratory / Field Tests
	%F %G AL CP CS DD DS HA MC MD SA HA PL PP SA TX US	Percent fines Percent gravel Atterberg limits Chemical analysis Laboratory compaction test Consolidation test Dry density Direct shear Hydrometer analysis Moisture content Moisture content and dry density Mohs hardness scale Organic content Permeability or hydraulic conductivity Plasticity index Point load test Pocket penetrometer Sieve analysis Triaxial compression Unconfined compression Vane shear
	NS SS MS HS	No Visible Sheen Slight Sheen Moderate Sheen Heavy Sheen

NOTE: The reader must refer to the discussion in the report text and the logs of explorations for a proper understanding of subsurface conditions. Descriptions on the logs apply only at the specific exploration locations and at the time the explorations were made; they are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.





STD_US_JUNE_2017.GLB/GEI8_TESTPIT_1P_GEOTEC_%F DBLibrary/Libran PROJECTS\22\22450004\GINT\2245000400.GPJ WAN COM ate:8/31/21



Sheet 1 of 1

Date	rated	6/11,	/2021	Total Depth	(ft) 2.5	Logged	By JES	Excavator	Taylor Excavating			See "F	Remarks" section for groundwater observed
Surface Elevation (ft) 112 Easting Vertical Datum NAVD88 Northing							ing (X) hing (Y)	Equipmen	1315128 419885	Coordina Horizont	ate Sys al Dati	stem	WA State Plane North NAD83 (feet)
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Festing Sample	Sample Name Testing	Graphic Log	àroup Classification		D	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION				Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
	- 1-		1 MC		SM	Brown fine to (topsoil)	medium silty	sand with occ	asional gravel (loose	, moist) -	18		
	- 2—		<u>2</u> %F		SP	Light brown/g (medium c -	gray fine to me dense, wet) (o	edium sand wi utwash)	th occasional gravel	-	28	4	Slight caving observed from 2 to 2.4 feet Slow groundwater seepage observed at 2.4 feet
No Th Co	Notes: See Figure A-1 for explanation of symbols. The depths on the test pit logs are based on an average of measurements across the test pit and should be considered accurate to ½ foot. Coordinates Data Source: Horizontal approximated based on USGS Topo. Vertical approximated based on USGS Topo.												
\square							Lo	og of Tes	st Pit TP-3				
(GEO	эE	NG	INE	ERS	0	Project Project	: The Rex Location:	Development Marysville, Wa	ashington	l		Figure A-4

Project Number: 22450-004-00

Dates/31/21 Path:\\GEDENGINEERS.COM\WM\PPOLECTS'\22450004\GMT/2245000400.GPJ DBLIbrary.GEDENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_JUNE_2017.GLB/GEI8_TESTPT_AP_GEDTEC_%F

Figure A-4 Sheet 1 of 1

Date Excav	e Avated 6/11/2021 Total Depth (ft) 2 Logged By JES Checked By AJH Equipment Equipment Section for groundwater of Caving not observed							Remarks" section for groundwater observed								
Surface Elevation (ft) 112 Vertical Datum NAVD88						Eastir North	Easting (X) 1315954 Coordinate Northing (Y) 418933 Horizontal				ate Sys al Dati	tem um	WA State Plane North NAD83 (feet)			
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Testing Sample	Sample Name Testing aTdA	Graphic Log	Group Classification		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION								REMARKS	
	- 1 —		1 %F		SM	Dark r	: brown fin ootlets (lo	ie to me ose, mo	edium s bist) (to	silty sand wit psoil)	h occasional gr	ravel and	d 	26	22	
	-		<u>2</u> %F		SP	Brow	vn-gray fin lense, wet	e to meo :) (outwa	dium sa ash)	and with oc	casional gravel	(mediun	n	23	7	Slow groundwater seepage observed at 2 feet
	2 2 Bow grounduster seepage obcoved at 2 feet								½ foot.							
									Log	g of Te	st Pit TP-	4				
							Proj Proj Proj	ject: ject L ject N	Ihe Rex _ocation: Number:	Developme Marysville 22450-00	ent e, Was 04-00	hington			Figure A-5 Sheet 1 of 1	

Date 8/31/21 Path: \\GEDENGINEERS.COM \WAN \PROJECTS \22450004 \GINT\22450004 \GINT\2245000400.GPJ DBLIbray/Libray.GEDENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_UNE_2017.GLB/GER_TESTPIT_IP_GEDTEC_%F

Sheet 1 of 1







APPENDIX B Cone Penetrometer Report

PRESENTATION OF SITE INVESTIGATION RESULTS

Rex Development

Prepared for:

GeoEngineers, Inc.

ConeTec Job No: 21-59-22493

Project Start Date: 11-JUN-2021 Project End Date: 11-JUN-2021 Report Date: 18-JUN-2021



Prepared by:

ConeTec Inc. 1508 O Street SW, Unit 103-104 Auburn, WA 98001

Tel: (253) 397-4861

ConeTecWA@conetec.com www.conetec.com www.conetecdataservices.com



Introduction

The enclosed report presents the results of the site investigation program conducted by ConeTec Inc. for GeoEngineers, Inc. at 16408 51st Ave NE, Arlington, WA 98223. The program consisted of cone penetration tests.

Project Information

Project						
Client	GeoEngineers, Inc.					
Project	Rex Development					
ConeTec project number	21-59-22493					

An aerial overview from Google Earth including the CPTu test locations is presented below.



Rig Description	Deployment System	Test Type		
C05-023_20Ton Track Rig	Integrated Push Cylinders	CPTu		



Coordinates							
Test Type	Collection Method	EPSG Number					
СРТи	Consumer grade GPS	4326					

Cone Penetrometers Used for this Project								
	Cono	Cross	Sleeve	Tip	Sleeve	Pore Pressure		
Cone Description	Number	Sectional	Area	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity		
		Area (cm ²)	(cm²)	(bar)	(bar)	(bar)		
730: T1500F15U35	730	15.0	225	1500	15	35		
Cone 730 was used for all CPTu soundings								

Cone Penetration Test (CPTu)						
Dopth reference	Depths are referenced to the existing ground surface at the time of eac					
Deptimerence	test.					
Tip and closure data officiat	0.1 meter					
The and sleeve data onset	This has been accounted for in the CPT data files.					
Additional plats	 Advanced plots with Ic, Su, phi and N(60)/N1(60) 					
	Soil Behaviour Type (SBT) scatter plots					

Calculated Geotechnical Parameter Tables						
Additional information	The Normalized Soil Behaviour Type Chart based on Q_{tn} (SBT Q_{tn}) (Robertson, 2009) was used to classify the soil for this project. A detailed set of calculated CPTu parameters have been generated and are provided in Excel format files in the release folder. The CPTu parameter calculations are based on values of corrected tip resistance (qt) sleeve friction (fs) and pore pressure (u2). Effective stresses are calculated based on unit weights that have been assigned to the individual soil behaviour type zones and the assumed equilibrium pore pressure profile.					



Limitations

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of GeoEngineers, Inc. (Client) for the project titled "Rex Development". The report's contents may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written permission of ConeTec Inc. (ConeTec). ConeTec has provided site investigation services, prepared the factual data reporting and provided geotechnical parameter calculations consistent with current best practices. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

The information presented in the report document and the accompanying data set pertain to the specific project, site conditions and objectives described to ConeTec by the Client. In order to properly understand the factual data, assumptions and calculations, reference must be made to the documents provided and their accompanying data sets, in their entirety.



Cone penetration tests (CPTu) are conducted using an integrated electronic piezocone penetrometer and data acquisition system manufactured by Adara Systems Ltd., a subsidiary of ConeTec.

ConeTec's piezocone penetrometers are compression type designs in which the tip and friction sleeve load cells are independent and have separate load capacities. The piezocones use strain gauged load cells for tip and sleeve friction and a strain gauged diaphragm type transducer for recording pore pressure. The piezocones also have a platinum resistive temperature device (RTD) for monitoring the temperature of the sensors, an accelerometer type dual axis inclinometer and two geophone sensors for recording seismic signals. All signals are amplified and measured with minimum sixteen-bit resolution down hole within the cone body, and the signals are sent to the surface using a high bandwidth, error corrected digital interface through a shielded cable.

ConeTec penetrometers are manufactured with various tip, friction and pore pressure capacities in both 10 cm² and 15 cm² tip base area configurations in order to maximize signal resolution for various soil conditions. The specific piezocone used for each test is described in the CPT summary table presented in the first appendix. The 15 cm² penetrometers do not require friction reducers as they have a diameter larger than the deployment rods. The 10 cm² piezocones use a friction reducer consisting of a rod adapter extension behind the main cone body with an enlarged cross sectional area (typically 44 millimeters diameter over a length of 32 millimeters with tapered leading and trailing edges) located at a distance of 585 millimeters above the cone tip.

The penetrometers are designed with equal end area friction sleeves, a net end area ratio of 0.8 and cone tips with a 60 degree apex angle.

All ConeTec piezocones can record pore pressure at various locations. Unless otherwise noted, the pore pressure filter is located directly behind the cone tip in the " u_2 " position (ASTM Type 2). The filter is six millimeters thick, made of porous plastic (polyethylene) having an average pore size of 125 microns (90-160 microns). The function of the filter is to allow rapid movements of extremely small volumes of water needed to activate the pressure transducer while preventing soil ingress or blockage.

The piezocone penetrometers are manufactured with dimensions, tolerances and sensor characteristics that are in general accordance with the current ASTM D5778 standard. ConeTec's calibration criteria also meets or exceeds those of the current ASTM D5778 standard. An illustration of the piezocone penetrometer is presented in Figure CPTu.





Figure CPTu. Piezocone Penetrometer (15 cm²)

The ConeTec data acquisition systems consist of a Windows based computer and a signal interface box and power supply. The signal interface combines depth increment signals, seismic trigger signals and the downhole digital data. This combined data is then sent to the Windows based computer for collection and presentation. The data is recorded at fixed depth increments using a depth wheel attached to the push cylinders or by using a spring loaded rubber depth wheel that is held against the cone rods. The typical recording interval is 2.5 centimeters; custom recording intervals are possible.

The system displays the CPTu data in real time and records the following parameters to a storage media during penetration:

- Depth
- Uncorrected tip resistance (q_c)
- Sleeve friction (f_s)
- Dynamic pore pressure (u)
- Additional sensors such as resistivity, passive gamma, ultra violet induced fluorescence, if applicable



All testing is performed in accordance to ConeTec's CPTu operating procedures which are in general accordance with the current ASTM D5778 standard.

Prior to the start of a CPTu sounding a suitable cone is selected, the cone and data acquisition system are powered on, the pore pressure system is saturated with silicone oil and the baseline readings are recorded with the cone hanging freely in a vertical position.

The CPTu is conducted at a steady rate of two centimeters per second, within acceptable tolerances. Typically one meter length rods with an outer diameter of 1.5 inches (38.1 millimeters) are added to advance the cone to the sounding termination depth. After cone retraction final baselines are recorded.

Additional information pertaining to ConeTec's cone penetration testing procedures:

- Each filter is saturated in silicone oil under vacuum pressure prior to use
- Baseline readings are compared to previous readings
- Soundings are terminated at the client's target depth or at a depth where an obstruction is encountered, excessive rod flex occurs, excessive inclination occurs, equipment damage is likely to take place, or a dangerous working environment arises
- Differences between initial and final baselines are calculated to ensure zero load offsets have not occurred and to ensure compliance with ASTM standards

The interpretation of piezocone data for this report is based on the corrected tip resistance (q_t) , sleeve friction (f_s) and pore water pressure (u). The interpretation of soil type is based on the correlations developed by Robertson et al. (1986) and Robertson (1990, 2009). It should be noted that it is not always possible to accurately identify a soil behavior type based on these parameters. In these situations, experience, judgment and an assessment of other parameters may be used to infer soil behavior type.

The recorded tip resistance (q_c) is the total force acting on the piezocone tip divided by its base area. The tip resistance is corrected for pore pressure effects and termed corrected tip resistance (q_t) according to the following expression presented in Robertson et al. (1986):

$$q_t = q_c + (1-a) \bullet u_2$$

where: qt is the corrected tip resistance

- q_c is the recorded tip resistance
- u₂ is the recorded dynamic pore pressure behind the tip (u₂ position)
- a is the Net Area Ratio for the piezocone (0.8 for ConeTec probes)

The sleeve friction (f_s) is the frictional force on the sleeve divided by its surface area. As all ConeTec piezocones have equal end area friction sleeves, pore pressure corrections to the sleeve data are not required.

The dynamic pore pressure (u) is a measure of the pore pressures generated during cone penetration. To record equilibrium pore pressure, the penetration must be stopped to allow the dynamic pore pressures to stabilize. The rate at which this occurs is predominantly a function of the permeability of the soil and the diameter of the cone.



The friction ratio (R_f) is a calculated parameter. It is defined as the ratio of sleeve friction to the tip resistance expressed as a percentage. Generally, saturated cohesive soils have low tip resistance, high friction ratios and generate large excess pore water pressures. Cohesionless soils have higher tip resistances, lower friction ratios and do not generate significant excess pore water pressure.

A summary of the CPTu soundings along with test details and individual plots are provided in the appendices. A set of files with calculated geotechnical parameters were generated for each sounding based on published correlations and are provided in Excel format in the data release folder. Information regarding the methods used is also included in the data release folder.

For additional information on CPTu interpretations and calculated geotechnical parameters, refer to Robertson et al. (1986), Lunne et al. (1997), Robertson (2009), Mayne (2013, 2014) and Mayne and Peuchen (2012).



The cone penetration test is halted at specific depths to carry out pore pressure dissipation (PPD) tests, shown in Figure PPD-1. For each dissipation test the cone and rods are decoupled from the rig and the data acquisition system measures and records the variation of the pore pressure (u) with time (t).



Figure PPD-1. Pore pressure dissipation test setup

Pore pressure dissipation data can be interpreted to provide estimates of ground water conditions, permeability, consolidation characteristics and soil behavior.

The typical shapes of dissipation curves shown in Figure PPD-2 are very useful in assessing soil type, drainage, in situ pore pressure and soil properties. A flat curve that stabilizes quickly is typical of a freely draining sand. Undrained soils such as clays will typically show positive excess pore pressure and have long dissipation times. Dilative soils will often exhibit dynamic pore pressures below equilibrium that then rise over time. Overconsolidated fine-grained soils will often exhibit an initial dilatory response where there is an initial rise in pore pressure before reaching a peak and dissipating.



Figure PPD-2. Pore pressure dissipation curve examples



In order to interpret the equilibrium pore pressure (u_{eq}) and the apparent phreatic surface, the pore pressure should be monitored until such time as there is no variation in pore pressure with time as shown for each curve in Figure PPD-2.

In fine grained deposits the point at which 100% of the excess pore pressure has dissipated is known as t_{100} . In some cases this can take an excessive amount of time and it may be impractical to take the dissipation to t_{100} . A theoretical analysis of pore pressure dissipations by Teh and Houlsby (1991) showed that a single curve relating degree of dissipation versus theoretical time factor (T*) may be used to calculate the coefficient of consolidation (c_h) at various degrees of dissipation resulting in the expression for c_h shown below.

$$c_h = \frac{T^* \cdot a^2 \cdot \sqrt{I_r}}{t}$$

Where:

- T* is the dimensionless time factor (Table Time Factor)
- a is the radius of the cone
- Ir is the rigidity index
- t is the time at the degree of consolidation

Table Time Factor.	T* versus degree of dissipation	(Teh and Houlsby (1991))

Degree of Dissipation (%)	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
T* (u ₂)	0.038	0.078	0.142	0.245	0.439	0.804	1.60

The coefficient of consolidation is typically analyzed using the time (t_{50}) corresponding to a degree of dissipation of 50% (u_{50}) . In order to determine t_{50} , dissipation tests must be taken to a pressure less than u_{50} . The u_{50} value is half way between the initial maximum pore pressure and the equilibrium pore pressure value, known as u_{100} . To estimate u_{50} , both the initial maximum pore pressure and u_{100} must be known or estimated. Other degrees of dissipations may be considered, particularly for extremely long dissipations.

At any specific degree of dissipation the equilibrium pore pressure (u at t_{100}) must be estimated at the depth of interest. The equilibrium value may be determined from one or more sources such as measuring the value directly (u_{100}), estimating it from other dissipations in the same profile, estimating the phreatic surface and assuming hydrostatic conditions, from nearby soundings, from client provided information, from site observations and/or past experience, or from other site instrumentation.

For calculations of c_h (Teh and Houlsby (1991)), t_{50} values are estimated from the corresponding pore pressure dissipation curve and a rigidity index (I_r) is assumed. For curves having an initial dilatory response in which an initial rise in pore pressure occurs before reaching a peak, the relative time from the peak value is used in determining t_{50} . In cases where the time to peak is excessive, t_{50} values are not calculated.

Due to possible inherent uncertainties in estimating I_r , the equilibrium pore pressure and the effect of an initial dilatory response on calculating t_{50} , other methods should be applied to confirm the results for c_h .



Additional published methods for estimating the coefficient of consolidation from a piezocone test are described in Burns and Mayne (1998, 2002), Jones and Van Zyl (1981), Robertson et al. (1992) and Sully et al. (1999).

A summary of the pore pressure dissipation tests and dissipation plots are presented in the relevant appendix.



ASTM D5778-12, 2012, "Standard Test Method for Performing Electronic Friction Cone and Piezocone Penetration Testing of Soils", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. DOI: 10.1520/D5778-12.

Burns, S.E. and Mayne, P.W., 1998, "Monotonic and dilatory pore pressure decay during piezocone tests", Canadian Geotechnical Journal 26 (4): 1063-1073. DOI: 1063-1073/T98-062.

Burns, S.E. and Mayne, P.W., 2002, "Analytical cavity expansion-critical state model cone dissipation in fine-grained soils", Soils & Foundations, Vol. 42(2): 131-137.

Jones, G.A. and Van Zyl, D.J.A., 1981, "The piezometer probe: a useful investigation tool", Proceedings, 10th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Vol. 3, Stockholm: 489-495.

Lunne, T., Robertson, P.K. and Powell, J. J. M., 1997, "Cone Penetration Testing in Geotechnical Practice", Blackie Academic and Professional.

Mayne, P.W., 2013, "Evaluating yield stress of soils from laboratory consolidation and in-situ cone penetration tests", Sound Geotechnical Research to Practice (Holtz Volume) GSP 230, ASCE, Reston/VA: 406-420. DOI: 10.1061/9780784412770.027.

Mayne, P.W. and Peuchen, J., 2012, "Unit weight trends with cone resistance in soft to firm clays", Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterization *4*, Vol. 1 (Proc. ISC-4, Pernambuco), CRC Press, London: 903-910.

Mayne, P.W., 2014, "Interpretation of geotechnical parameters from seismic piezocone tests", CPT'14 Keynote Address, Las Vegas, NV, May 2014.

Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Greig, J., 1986, "Use of Piezometer Cone Data", Proceedings of InSitu 86, ASCE Specialty Conference, Blacksburg, Virginia.

Robertson, P.K., 1990, "Soil Classification Using the Cone Penetration Test", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 27: 151-158. DOI: 10.1139/T90-014.

Robertson, P.K., Sully, J.P., Woeller, D.J., Lunne, T., Powell, J.J.M. and Gillespie, D.G., 1992, "Estimating coefficient of consolidation from piezocone tests", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 29(4): 539-550. DOI: 10.1139/T92-061.

Robertson, P.K., 2009, "Interpretation of cone penetration tests – a unified approach", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 46: 1337-1355. DOI: 10.1139/T09-065.

Sully, J.P., Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G. and Woeller, D.J., 1999, "An approach to evaluation of field CPTU dissipation data in overconsolidated fine-grained soils", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 36(2): 369-381. DOI: 10.1139/T98-105.

Teh, C.I., and Houlsby, G.T., 1991, "An analytical study of the cone penetration test in clay", Geotechnique, 41(1): 17-34. DOI: 10.1680/geot.1991.41.1.17.


The appendices listed below are included in the report:

- Cone Penetration Test Summary and Standard Cone Penetration Test Plots
- Advanced Cone Penetration Test Plots with Ic, Su(Nkt), Phi and N(60)Ic/N1(60)Ic
- Soil Behavior Type (SBT) Scatter Plots
- Pore Pressure Dissipation Summary and Pore Pressure Dissipation Plots



Cone Penetration Test Summary and Standard Cone Penetration Test Plots





CONE PENETRATION TEST SUMMARY												
Sounding ID	File Name	Date	Cone	Assumed ¹ Phreatic Surface (ft)	Final Depth (ft)	Latitude ³ (deg)	Longitude ³ (deg)	Refer to Notation Number				
CPT-01	21-59-22493_CP01	11-Jun-2021	730: T1500F15U35	1.2	40.9	48.13860	-122.16576	2				
CPT-02	21-59-22493_CP02	11-Jun-2021	730: T1500F15U35	1.2	40.6	48.13976	-122.16327					
CPT-03	21-59-22493_CP03	11-Jun-2021	730: T1500F15U35	1.6	40.4	48.14251	-122.16966					
CPT-04	21-59-22493_CP04	11-Jun-2021	730: T1500F15U35	1.9	40.9	48.14339	-122.16422					
CPT-05	21-59-22493_CP05	11-Jun-2021	730: T1500F15U35	1.9	40.8	48.14768	-122.16821	2				
Totals	5 soundings				203.7							

1. Phreatic surface based on pore pressure dissipation test unless otherwise noted. Hydrostatic profile applied to interpretation tables

2. Phreatic surface based on adjacent sounding pore pressure dissipation test. Hydrostatic profile applied to interpretation tables

3. Coordinates were collected using a handheld GPS - WGS 84 Lat/Long



Equilibrium Pore Pressure (Ueq)
Assumed Ueq
Dissipation, Ueq achieved
Dissipation, Ueq not achieved
The reported coordinates were acquired from hand-held GPS equipment and are only approximate locations. The coordinates should not be used for design purposes.



Equilibrium Pore Pressure (Ueq)
 Assumed Ueq
 Dissipation, Ueq achieved
 Dissipation, Ueq achieved
 The reported coordinates were acquired from hand-held GPS equipment and are only approximate locations. The coordinates should not be used for design purposes.





Equilibrium Pore Pressure (Ueq)
Assumed Ueq
Dissipation, Ueq achieved
Dissipation, Ueq not achieved
The reported coordinates were acquired from hand-held GPS equipment and are only approximate locations. The coordinates should not be used for design purposes.



Equilibrium Pore Pressure (Ueq)
Assumed Ueq
Dissipation, Ueq achieved
Dissipation, Ueq not achieved
The reported coordinates were acquired from hand-held GPS equipment and are only approximate locations. The coordinates should not be used for design purposes.

Hydrostatic Line

Advanced Cone Penetration Test Plots with Ic, Su, Phi and N(60)/N1(60)













Soil Behavior Type (SBT) Scatter Plots



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 2021-06-11 14:07 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-01 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 2021-06-11 12:30 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-02 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 2021-06-11 09:07 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-03 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 2021-06-11 08:23 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-04 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 2021-06-11 10:17 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-05 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35



Pore Pressure Dissipation Summary and Pore Pressure Dissipation Plots





Job No: Client: Project: Start Date: End Date: 21-59-22493 GeoEngineers, Inc. Rex Development 11-Jun-2021 11-Jun-2021

CPTu PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION SUMMARY													
Sounding ID	File Name	Cone Area (cm²)	Duration (s)	Test Depth (ft)	Estimated Equilibrium Pore Pressure U _{eq} (ft)	Calculated Phreatic Surface (ft)							
CPT-02	21-59-22493_CP02	15.0	1129.9	1.3	0.1	1.2							
CPT-03	21-59-22493_CP03	15.0	390.0	11.2	9.6	1.6							
CPT-04	21-59-22493_CP04	15.0	250.0	11.3	9.4	2.0							
Total Duration			29.5 min										



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 06/11/2021 12:30 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-02 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35 Area=15 cm²



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 06/11/2021 09:07 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-03 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35 Area=15 cm²



Job No: 21-59-22493 Date: 06/11/2021 08:23 Site: Rex Development Sounding: CPT-04 Cone: 730:T1500F15U35 Area=15 cm²



APPENDIX C Logs from Previous Studies

APPENDIX C PREVIOUS STUDIES

GeoEngineers reviewed logs of previous explorations completed in the general vicinity of the currently planned project. The locations of previous explorations are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. The logs of the previous explorations are presented in this appendix and include the following:

The logs of four borings (B-2 through B-5) completed in 2018 by GeoEngineers, Inc. in the report entitled "Geotechnical Engineering Services, 156th Street NE, 160th Street NE and 51st Avenue NE Improvements, Marysville, Washington." Dated September 110, 2018.





Project Location: Marysville, Washington

Project Number: 0925-017-00

ate:7/24/18 Path:P:\0\0925017\GINT\092501700.GPJ DBLibrary/Library/Library/E0ENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_JUNE_2017.GLB/GEI8_GEOTECH_WELL_%

GEOENGINEERS

Figure A-3 Sheet 1 of 1

	Drilleo	d 4/1	<u>Start</u> .2/2018	<u>Er</u> 4/12	<u>id</u> 2018	Total Depth	(ft)	16.5	Logged By CWM Checked By CWM	Driller	Advanced Drilling Technologies, Inc.			Drilling Method Hollow-stem Auger
	Surface Elevation (ft) Vertical Datum								Hammer Data	Autoham	mer	Drilling Equipr	ç nent	Diedrich D-50 drill rig
	Easting (X) Northing (Y)								System c				emark	s" section for groundwater observed
	Notes	:						1						
ĺ	\geq			FIE	LD DA	TA								
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification	M DES	NATERIAL SCRIPTIC	N	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
V0_GW		0 — - - - 5 — - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3 14 14	4 11 16		1 MC 2		SP-SM	2 inches of sod Brown sity fine to mec organic matter (loc Gray fine to coarse sar moist to wet) (rece Gray silty fine to coarse (medium dense, w	tium sand wi se, moist) (fi nd with silt (n essional outw e sand with c et)	th gravel and trace	26 		Groundwater observed at approximately 3 feet below ground surface during drilling
_2017.GLB/GEI8_GEOTECH_STANDARD_%F_		- - 15 — -	15	12		4		SP-SM	Gray fine to coarse sar	nd with silt (n	nedium dense, wet)	-		Driller added water
ath:P:\0\0925017\GINT\092501700.GPJ DBLibrary/Library:GE0ENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_JUNE_	No	te: See	e Figure / les Data	4-1 for € Source:	xplanati Horizor	on of syr tal appro	nbols.	ted based	d on . Vertical approximated	I based on . Boring	B-3			
18 P ²									Project: City	of Marysv	ille - 156th, 160	th and	151s	st

Project Location: Marysville, Washington Project Number: 0925-017-00

Date:7/24/18

GEOENGINEERS

Figure A-4 Sheet 1 of 1

ſ	Drilled	4/1	<u>Start</u> 2/2018	<u>Er</u> 4/12	<u>id</u> 2/2018	Total Depth	(ft)	16.5	Logged By CWM Checked By CWM	Driller	Advanced Drilling Technologies, Inc.			Drilling Method Hollow-stem Auger
	Surface Elevation (ft) Undetermined Vertical Datum								Hammer Data Autohammer				hent	Diedrich D-50 drill rig
	Easting (X) Northing (Y) Notes:								System See "Remark			emark	s" section for groundwater observed	
ſ	FIELD DATA													
	Elevation (feet	 Depth (feet) 	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MA DES(aterial Criptic	N	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
		- 0						SOD SM	2 inches of sod Brown silty fine to mediu and organic matter (r	im sand wi medium d	th occasional gravel ense, wet) (fill)	- 12	20	
		-	18	10		1A %F 1B		SP-SM	- Gray fine to medium san - wet)	d with silt	(medium dense,	- 45	30	Groundwater observed at approximately 2½ feet below ground surface during drilling
		5 — -	18	9		2 %F			Becomes fine to coarse with gravel, becomes loose				5	
1_STANDARD_%F_N0_GW		- - 10 - -	18	8		3			 Becomes without gravel Gray fine to medium san wet) (recessional out 	d with silt wash)	(medium dense,			Driller added water
alb/gei8_geotech		15 -	15	16		4			_			_		Driller added water
25017 (GINT\092501700.GPJ DBLIbrary/LIbrary.GEOENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_JUNE_2017.C	Not Coc	te: See	Figure	4-1 for € Source	explanat : Horizor	ion of syr	nbols.	ed based	on . Vertical approximated b	ased on .				

Log of Boring B-4



Date:7/24/18 Path

Project: City of Marysville - 156th, 160th and 51st Project Location: Marysville, Washington Project Number: 0925-017-00

Figure A-5 Sheet 1 of 1

Drille	d 4/1	<u>Start</u> .3/2018	<u>En</u> 4/13,	<u>d</u> /2018	Total Depth	(ft)	21.5	Logged By CWM Checked By CWM	Driller T	dvanced Drilling echnologies, Inc.			Drilling Method Hollow-stem Auger
Surfac Vertic	ce Eleva al Datu	ation (ft) m	n (ft) Undetermined Hammer Data Autohammer D					Drilling Equipm	ient	Diedrich D-50 drill rig			
Eastin Northi	Easting (X) Northing (Y)							System Sea			See "Re	emarks	s" section for groundwater observed
Notes	6:												
\bigcap			FIEL	D DAT	ΓA								
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MA DESC	aterial Criptioi	N	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
	0-						AC SP-SM	8 ¹ / ₂ inches of asphalt co	ncrete	h cilt and gravel	_		
	-	_						(medium dense, moi	ist) (fill)	n Sin and graver	_		
	-	12	10		1 SA			-			9	6	
	5 —	12	12		2		SP-SM	Gray fine to medium sand with silt (medium dense, wet) (recessional outwash)					Groundwater observed at approximately 4½ feet below ground surface during drilling
	- - - 10 —	18	13		3			- - - Becomes fine to coarse			-		Driller added water
UIECH_S IANUARU_%F_NO_GW	- -							-			-		
ישי שישה (מוזה ו דשה בעווער כט בוו כ-דע	- 15 - - -		18		4			Becomes fine to mediun	n				Driller added water
ary:GE UEIVGI NEE NG	20 —	18	21		5			-			_		Driller added water
	ote: See	e Figure A	-1 for e	xplanati	on of svn	npols							

Note: See Figure A-1 for explanation of symbols. Coordinates Data Source: Horizontal approximated based on . Vertical approximated based on .

Log of Boring B-5



Date:7/24/18 Path

Project: City of Marysville - 156th, 160th and 51st Project Location: Marysville, Washington Project Number: 0925-017-00

Figure A-6 Sheet 1 of 1





APPENDIX D Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use

APPENDIX D REPORT LIMITATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR USE

This appendix provides information to help you manage your risks with respect to the use of this geotechnical data report. This report does not include design recommendations.

Report Use and Reliance

The geotechnical data report has been prepared for Williams Investments LLC. The report is not intended for use by others, and the information contained herein is not applicable to other projects or properties. No party or parties other than those named above may rely on the product of our services unless we agree to such reliance in advance and in writing. The purpose of this limitation is to provide our firm with reasonable protection against open-ended liability claims by third parties with whom there would otherwise be no contractual limits to their actions.

This geotechnical data report is intended to be used only for the specific purpose or project originally contemplated for our services and use of this report is not recommended for any other purpose or project. The data was developed and compiled for this project only, and no representation or warranty is made, either express or implied. GeoEngineers shall not be responsible for any alterations, modifications or additions to the data herein or the consequences of any interpretations of the data. Any use of the data, including any conclusion or information obtained or derived from the use of the data, other than by Williams Investments LLC, their authorized agents and regulatory agencies for the specific purpose or project originally contemplated for our services will be at the user's sole risk.

If changes are made to the project or property after the date of the report, we recommend that GeoEngineers be given the opportunity to review the data, and then we can provide written modifications or confirmation, as appropriate.

Information Provided by Others

GeoEngineers makes no warranties or guarantees regarding the accuracy or completeness of data provided or compiled by others and shall not be responsible for user's interpretation of such data.



