CITY OF MARYSVILLE AGENDA BILL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR ACTION

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: 4/25/2022

AGENDA ITEM: One Washington MOU Opioid Litigation	
PREPARED BY: Jon Walker	**DIRECTOR APPROVAL:**
DEPARTMENT: Legal	
ATTACHMENTS:	
BUDGET CODE:	**AMOUNT:**
SUMMARY:	

Across the nation, there have been multiple lawsuits against the manufacturers and distributors of opioid drugs (e.g., OxyContin). The central claim in these opioid lawsuits is that drug manufacturers and distributors improperly marketed and distributed opioids leading to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of Americans and costs to governments dealing with the impacts of drug addiction.

At this time, 48 states have already entered a settlement agreement with the distributors and manufacturers. Only Washington and Alabama have not and that meant that the defendants were unwilling to settle with local governments in those states since the state was not settling. In Washington, the Attorney General has a lawsuit against the distributors currently in a bench trial in King County. A verdict in this case is expected in a few weeks. (The AG has a lawsuit against manufacturer Johnson and Johnson, though I do not believe that is at the trial stage yet.) Consequently, the law firm Keller Rorbach, which represents 29 of the 33 local Washington governments currently suing the opioid defendants is seeking to get all Washington local governments (or as many as they can) to sign a MOU regarding the allocation of any settlement funds.

If the City takes part in the MOU it would not be a party to the litigation but would be a "Participating Local Government" that becomes eligible to receive settlement funds to address opioid addiction impacts. The defendant distributors and manufacturers wish to have as many jurisdictions as possible involved in the settlement so as to avoid continuing liability and potential future payouts.

The MOU allocates settlements funds based on a formula used in other jurisdictions settlements have already occurred. It considers (1) the amount of opioids shipped to the county; (2) the number of opioid deaths in the county; and (3) the number of people who suffer opioid use disorder in that county. This data is available only on a county level. Allocations to cities is determined using a formula utilizing historical federal data showing how a specific city have made opioids epidemic-related expenditures in the past. Only cities over 10,000 population are eligible to receive funds. The funds must be spent to alleviate and abate the effects of opioid addiction (e.g. providing treatment) and this has been standard in settlement agreements in other states. According to the attorneys, they expect the attorney fees to be paid by the defendants

separately from any settlement funds. The City will not be taking on liability to pay litigation costs or attorney fees.

The settlement funds initially will be allocated on a regional level to "Opioid Abatement Councils" which will approve the uses to which the funds may be put. Marysville and Snohomish County are in the North Sound Region, which includes Island, San Juan, Skagit, Snohomish, and Whatcom counties.

The City's allocation is expected to be 0.3945067827% of the total settlement funds for Washington. Snohomish County and all the eligible cities in the county will receive a total of 11.8213083387% of the funds made available through a settlement. The City could pool any settlement funds it receives with the County and other cities to pursue county-wide strategies to combat the effects of opioid addiction.

Since there has been no settlement in Washington, there is no information on the amount of the settlement. Nationally, the settlement between the distributors and Johnson and Johnson and the other 48 states was for $26 billion to be paid over 18 years.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: I move to authorize the Mayor to execute the One Washington Memorandum Of Understanding Between Washington Municipalities in order to participate in receiving any settlement funds from opioid litigation in Washington.

ONE WASHINGTON MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN WASHINGTON MUNICIPALITIES

Whereas, the people of the State of Washington and its communities have been harmed by entities within the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain who manufacture, distribute, and dispense prescription opioids;

Whereas, certain Local Governments, through their elected representatives and counsel, are engaged in litigation seeking to hold these entities within the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain of prescription opioids accountable for the damage they have caused to the Local Governments;

Whereas, Local Governments and elected officials share a common desire to abate and alleviate the impacts of harms caused by these entities within the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain throughout the State of Washington, and strive to ensure that principals of equity and equitable service delivery are factors considered in the allocation and use of Opioid Funds; and

Whereas, certain Local Governments engaged in litigation and the other cities and counties in Washington desire to agree on a form of allocation for Opioid Funds they receive from entities within the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain.

Now therefore, the Local Governments enter into this Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") relating to the allocation and use of the proceeds of Settlements described.

A. Definitions

As used in this MOU:

1. "Allocation Regions" are the same geographic areas as the existing nine (9) Washington State Accountable Community of Health (ACH) Regions and have the purpose described in Section C below.

2. "Approved Purpose(s)" shall mean the strategies specified and set forth in the Opioid Abatement Strategies attached as Exhibit A.

3. "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which a court of competent jurisdiction enters the first Settlement by order or consent decree. The Parties anticipate that more than one Settlement will be administered according to the terms of this MOU, but that the first entered Settlement will trigger allocation of Opioid Funds in accordance with Section B herein, and the formation of the Opioid Abatement Councils in Section C.

4. "Litigating Local Government(s)" shall mean Local Governments that filed suit against any Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Participant pertaining to the Opioid epidemic prior to September 1, 2020.

5. “Local Government(s)” shall mean all counties, cities, and towns within the geographic boundaries of the State of Washington.

6. “National Settlement Agreements” means the national opioid settlement agreements dated July 21, 2021 involving Johnson & Johnson, and distributors AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health and McKesson as well as their subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, and directors named in the National Settlement Agreements, including all amendments thereto.

7. “Opioid Funds” shall mean monetary amounts obtained through a Settlement as defined in this MOU.

8. “Opioid Abatement Council” shall have the meaning described in Section C below.

9. “Participating Local Government(s)” shall mean all counties, cities, and towns within the geographic boundaries of the State that have chosen to sign on to this MOU. The Participating Local Governments may be referred to separately in this MOU as “Participating Counties” and “Participating Cities and Towns” (or “Participating Cities or Towns,” as appropriate) or “Parties.”

10. “Pharmaceutical Supply Chain” shall mean the process and channels through which controlled substances are manufactured, marketed, promoted, distributed, and/or dispensed, including prescription opioids.

11. “Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Participant” shall mean any entity that engages in or has engaged in the manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, and/or dispensing of a prescription opioid, including any entity that has assisted in any of the above.

12. “Qualified Settlement Fund Account,” or “QSF Account,” shall mean an account set up as a qualified settlement fund, 468b fund, as authorized by Treasury Regulations 1.468B-1(c) (26 CFR §1.468B-1).

13. “Regional Agreements” shall mean the understanding reached by the Participating Local Counties and Cities within an Allocation Region governing the allocation, management, distribution of Opioid Funds within that Allocation Region.

14. “Settlement” shall mean the future negotiated resolution of legal or equitable claims against a Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Participant when that resolution has been jointly entered into by the Participating Local Governments. “Settlement” expressly does not include a plan of reorganization confirmed under Title 11of the United States Code, irrespective of the extent to which Participating Local Governments vote in favor of or otherwise support such plan of reorganization.

15. "Trustee" shall mean an independent trustee who shall be responsible for the ministerial task of releasing Opioid Funds from a QSF account to Participating Local Governments as authorized herein and accounting for all payments into or out of the trust.

16. The "Washington State Accountable Communities of Health" or "ACH" shall mean the nine (9) regions described in Section C below.

B. Allocation of Settlement Proceeds for Approved Purposes

1. All Opioid Funds shall be held in a QSF and distributed by the Trustee, for the benefit of the Participating Local Governments, only in a manner consistent with this MOU. Distribution of Opioid Funds will be subject to the mechanisms for auditing and reporting set forth below to provide public accountability and transparency.

2. All Opioid Funds, regardless of allocation, shall be utilized pursuant to Approved Purposes as defined herein and set forth in Exhibit A. Compliance with this requirement shall be verified through reporting, as set out in this MOU.

3. The division of Opioid Funds shall first be allocated to Participating Counties based on the methodology utilized for the Negotiation Class in *In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Case No. 1:17-md-02804-DAP. The allocation model uses three equally weighted factors: (1) the amount of opioids shipped to the county; (2) the number of opioid deaths that occurred in that county; and (3) the number of people who suffer opioid use disorder in that county. The allocation percentages that result from application of this methodology are set forth in the "County Total" line item in Exhibit B. In the event any county does not participate in this MOU, that county's percentage share shall be reallocated proportionally amongst the Participating Counties by applying this same methodology to only the Participating Counties.

4. Allocation and distribution of Opioid Funds within each Participating County will be based on regional agreements as described in Section C.

C. Regional Agreements

1. For the purpose of this MOU, the regional structure for decision-making related to opioid fund allocation will be based upon the nine (9) pre-defined Washington State Accountable Community of Health Regions (Allocation Regions). Reference to these pre-defined regions is solely for the purpose of

drawing geographic boundaries to facilitate regional agreements for use of Opioid Funds. The Allocation Regions are as follows:

- King County (Single County Region)
- Pierce County (Single County Region)
- Olympic Community of Health Region (Clallam, Jefferson, and Kitsap Counties)
- Cascade Pacific Action Alliance Region (Cowlitz, Grays Harbor, Lewis, Mason, Pacific, Thurston, Lewis, and Wahkiakum Counties)
- North Sound Region (Island, San Juan, Skagit, Snohomish, and Whatcom Counties)
- SouthWest Region (Clark, Klickitat, and Skamania Counties)
- Greater Columbia Region (Asotin, Benton, Columbia, Franklin, Garfield, Kittitas, Walla Walla, Whitman, and Yakima Counties)
- Spokane Region (Adams, Ferry, Lincoln, Pend Oreille, Spokane, and Stevens Counties)
- North Central Region (Chelan, Douglas, Grant, and Okanogan Counties)

2. Opioid Funds will be allocated, distributed and managed within each Allocation Region, as determined by its Regional Agreement as set forth below. If an Allocation Region does not have a Regional Agreement enumerated in this MOU, and does not subsequently adopt a Regional Agreement per Section C.5, the default mechanism for allocation, distribution and management of Opioid Funds described in Section C.4.a will apply. Each Allocation Region must have an OAC whose composition and responsibilities shall be defined by Regional Agreement or as set forth in Section C.4.

3. King County's Regional Agreement is reflected in Exhibit C to this MOU.

4. All other Allocation Regions that have not specified a Regional Agreement for allocating, distributing and managing Opioid Funds, will apply the following default methodology:

a. Opioid Funds shall be allocated within each Allocation Region by taking the allocation for a Participating County from Exhibit B and apportioning those funds between that Participating County and its Participating Cities and Towns. Exhibit B also sets forth the allocation to the Participating Counties and the Participating Cities or Towns within the Counties based on a default allocation formula. As set forth above in Section B.3, to determine the allocation to a county, this formula utilizes: (1) the amount of opioids shipped to the county; (2) the number of opioid deaths that occurred in that county; and (3) the number of people who suffer opioid use disorder in that county. To determine the allocation within a county, the formula utilizes historical federal data showing how the specific Counties and the Cities and Towns within the Counties have

made opioids epidemic-related expenditures in the past. This is the same methodology used in the National Settlement Agreements for county and intra-county allocations. A Participating County, and the Cities and Towns within it may enter into a separate intra-county allocation agreement to modify how the Opioid Funds are allocated amongst themselves, provided the modification is in writing and agreed to by all Participating Local Governments in the County. Such an agreement shall not modify any of the other terms or requirements of this MOU.

b. 10% of the Opioid Funds received by the Region will be reserved, on an annual basis, for administrative costs related to the OAC. The OAC will provide an annual accounting for actual costs and any reserved funds that exceed actual costs will be reallocated to Participating Local Governments within the Region.

c. Cities and towns with a population of less than 10,000 shall be excluded from the allocation, with the exception of cities and towns that are Litigating Participating Local Governments. The portion of the Opioid Funds that would have been allocated to a city or town with a population of less than 10,000 that is not a Litigating Participating Local Government shall be redistributed to Participating Counties in the manner directed in C.4.a above.

d. Each Participating County, City, or Town may elect to have its share re-allocated to the OAC in which it is located. The OAC will then utilize this share for the benefit of Participating Local Governments within that Allocation Region, consistent with the Approved Purposes set forth in Exhibit A. A Participating Local Government's election to forego its allocation of Opioid Funds shall apply to all future allocations unless the Participating Local Government notifies its respective OAC otherwise. If a Participating Local Government elects to forego its allocation of the Opioid Funds, the Participating Local Government shall be excused from the reporting requirements set forth in this Agreement.

e. Participating Local Governments that receive a direct payment maintain full discretion over the use and distribution of their allocation of Opioid Funds, provided the Opioid Funds are used solely for Approved Purposes. Reasonable administrative costs for a Participating Local Government to administer its allocation of Opioid Funds shall not exceed actual costs or 10% of the Participating Local Government's allocation of Opioid Funds, whichever is less.

f. A Local Government that chooses not to become a Participating Local Government will not receive a direct allocation of Opioid Funds. The portion of the Opioid Funds that would have been allocated to a Local Government that is not a Participating Local Government shall be

redistributed to Participating Counties in the manner directed in C.4.a above.

g. As a condition of receiving a direct payment, each Participating Local Government that receives a direct payment agrees to undertake the following actions:

i. Developing a methodology for obtaining proposals for use of Opioid Funds.

ii. Ensuring there is opportunity for community-based input on priorities for Opioid Fund programs and services.

iii. Receiving and reviewing proposals for use of Opioid Funds for Approved Purposes.

iv. Approving or denying proposals for use of Opioid Funds for Approved Purposes.

v. Receiving funds from the Trustee for approved proposals and distributing the Opioid Funds to the recipient.

vi. Reporting to the OAC and making publicly available all decisions on Opioid Fund allocation applications, distributions and expenditures.

h. Prior to any distribution of Opioid Funds within the Allocation Region, The Participating Local Governments must establish an Opioid Abatement Council (OAC) to oversee Opioid Fund allocation, distribution, expenditures and dispute resolution. The OAC may be a preexisting regional body or may be a new body created for purposes of executing the obligations of this MOU.

i. The OAC for each Allocation Region shall be composed of representation from both Participating Counties and Participating Towns or Cities within the Region. The method of selecting members, and the terms for which they will serve will be determined by the Allocation Region's Participating Local Governments. All persons who serve on the OAC must have work or educational experience pertaining to one or more Approved Uses.

j. The Regional OAC will be responsible for the following actions:

i. Overseeing distribution of Opioid Funds from Participating Local Governments to programs and services within the Allocation Region for Approved Purposes.

4. Purchase automated versions of SBIRT and support ongoing costs of the technology.

5. Support training for emergency room personnel treating opioid overdose patients on post-discharge planning, including community referrals for MAT, recovery case management or support services.

6. Support hospital programs that transition persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, or persons who have experienced an opioid overdose, into community treatment or recovery services through a bridge clinic or similar approach.

7. Support crisis stabilization centers that serve as an alternative to hospital emergency departments for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction or persons that have experienced an opioid overdose.

8. Support the work of Emergency Medical Systems, including peer support specialists, to connect individuals to treatment or other appropriate services following an opioid overdose or other opioid-related adverse event.

9. Provide funding for peer support specialists or recovery coaches in emergency departments, detox facilities, recovery centers, recovery housing, or similar settings; offer services, supports, or connections to care to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction or to persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.

10. Provide funding for peer navigators, recovery coaches, care coordinators, or care managers that offer assistance to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction or to persons who have experienced on opioid overdose.

11. Create or support school-based contacts that parents can engage with to seek immediate treatment services for their child; and support prevention, intervention, treatment, and recovery programs focused on young people.

12. Develop and support best practices on addressing OUD in the workplace.

13. Support assistance programs for health care providers with OUD.

14. Engage non-profits and the faith community as a system to support outreach for treatment.

15. Support centralized call centers that provide information and connections to appropriate services and supports for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

16. Create or support intake and call centers to facilitate education and access to treatment, prevention, and recovery services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

17. Develop or support a National Treatment Availability Clearinghouse – a multistate/nationally accessible database whereby health care providers can list locations for currently available in-patient and out-patient OUD treatment services that are accessible on a real-time basis by persons who seek treatment.

D. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF CRIMINAL-JUSTICE-INVOLVED PERSONS

Address the needs of persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction who are involved – or are at risk of becoming involved – in the criminal justice system through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support pre-arrest or post-arrest diversion and deflection strategies for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, including established strategies such as:

    a. Self-referral strategies such as the Angel Programs or the Police Assisted Addiction Recovery Initiative (PAARI);

    b. Active outreach strategies such as the Drug Abuse Response Team (DART) model;

    c. "Naloxone Plus" strategies, which work to ensure that individuals who have received naloxone to reverse the effects of an overdose are then linked to treatment programs or other appropriate services;

    d. Officer prevention strategies, such as the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) model;

    e. Officer intervention strategies such as the Leon County, Florida Adult Civil Citation Network or the Chicago Westside Narcotics Diversion to Treatment Initiative;

    f. Co-responder and/or alternative responder models to address OUD-related 911 calls with greater SUD expertise and to reduce perceived barriers associated with law enforcement 911 responses; or

    g. County prosecution diversion programs, including diversion officer salary, only for counties with a population of 50,000 or less. Any diversion services in matters involving opioids must include drug testing, monitoring, or treatment.

2. Support pre-trial services that connect individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, and related services.

3. Support treatment and recovery courts for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, but only if these courts provide referrals to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT.

4. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction who are incarcerated in jail or prison.

5. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction who are leaving jail or prison have recently left jail or prison, are on probation or parole, are under community corrections supervision, or are in re-entry programs or facilities.

6. Support critical time interventions (CTI), particularly for individuals living with dual-diagnosis OUD/serious mental illness, and services for individuals who face immediate risks and service needs and risks upon release from correctional settings.

7. Provide training on best practices for addressing the needs of criminal-justice-involved persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction to law enforcement, correctional, or judicial personnel or to providers of treatment, recovery, case management, or other services offered in connection with any of the strategies described in this section.

E. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF PREGNANT OR PARENTING WOMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES, INCLUDING BABIES WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME

Address the needs of pregnant or parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, and the needs of their families, including babies with neonatal abstinence syndrome, through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Support evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising treatment, including MAT, recovery services and supports, and prevention services for pregnant women – or women who could become pregnant – who have OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, and other measures to educate and provide support to families affected by Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome.

2. Provide training for obstetricians or other healthcare personnel that work with pregnant women and their families regarding treatment of OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

3. Provide training to health care providers who work with pregnant or parenting women on best practices for compliance with federal requirements that children born with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome get referred to appropriate services and receive a plan of safe care.

4. Provide enhanced support for children and family members suffering trauma as a result of addiction in the family; and offer trauma-informed behavioral health treatment for adverse childhood events.

5. Offer enhanced family supports and home-based wrap-around services to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, including but not limited to parent skills training.

6. Support for Children's Services – Fund additional positions and services, including supportive housing and other residential services, relating to children being removed from the home and/or placed in foster care due to custodial opioid use.

PART TWO: PREVENTION

F. PREVENT OVER-PRESCRIBING AND ENSURE APPROPRIATE PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to prevent over-prescribing and ensure appropriate prescribing and dispensing of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Training for health care providers regarding safe and responsible opioid prescribing, dosing, and tapering patients off opioids.

2. Academic counter-detailing to educate prescribers on appropriate opioid prescribing.

3. Continuing Medical Education (CME) on appropriate prescribing of opioids.

4. Support for non-opioid pain treatment alternatives, including training providers to offer or refer to multi-modal, evidence-informed treatment of pain.

5. Support enhancements or improvements to Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs), including but not limited to improvements that:

    a. Increase the number of prescribers using PDMPs;

    b. Improve point-of-care decision-making by increasing the quantity, quality, or format of data available to prescribers using PDMPs or by improving the interface that prescribers use to access PDMP data, or both; or

    c. Enable states to use PDMP data in support of surveillance or intervention strategies, including MAT referrals and follow-up for individuals identified within PDMP data as likely to experience OUD.

6. Development and implementation of a national PDMP – Fund development of a multistate/national PDMP that permits information sharing while providing appropriate safeguards on sharing of private health information, including but not limited to:

    a. Integration of PDMP data with electronic health records, overdose episodes, and decision support tools for health care providers relating to OUD.

b. Ensuring PDMPs incorporate available overdose/naloxone deployment data, including the United States Department of Transportation's Emergency Medical Technician overdose database.

7. Increase electronic prescribing to prevent diversion or forgery.

8. Educate Dispensers on appropriate opioid dispensing.

G. PREVENT MISUSE OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to discourage or prevent misuse of opioids through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Corrective advertising or affirmative public education campaigns based on evidence.

2. Public education relating to drug disposal.

3. Drug take-back disposal or destruction programs.

4. Fund community anti-drug coalitions that engage in drug prevention efforts.

5. Support community coalitions in implementing evidence-informed prevention, such as reduced social access and physical access, stigma reduction – including staffing, educational campaigns, support for people in treatment or recovery, or training of coalitions in evidence-informed implementation, including the Strategic Prevention Framework developed by the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).

6. Engage non-profits and faith-based communities as systems to support prevention.

7. Support evidence-informed school and community education programs and campaigns for students, families, school employees, school athletic programs, parent-teacher and student associations, and others.

8. School-based or youth-focused programs or strategies that have demonstrated effectiveness in preventing drug misuse and seem likely to be effective in preventing the uptake and use of opioids.

9. Support community-based education or intervention services for families, youth, and adolescents at risk for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

10. Support evidence-informed programs or curricula to address mental health needs of young people who may be at risk of misusing opioids or other drugs, including emotional modulation and resilience skills.

11. Support greater access to mental health services and supports for young people, including services and supports provided by school nurses or other school staff, to

address mental health needs in young people that (when not properly addressed) increase the risk of opioid or other drug misuse.

H. PREVENT OVERDOSE DEATHS AND OTHER HARMS

Support efforts to prevent or reduce overdose deaths or other opioid-related harms through evidence-based, evidence-informed, or promising programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Increase availability and distribution of naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, opioid users, families and friends of opioid users, schools, community navigators and outreach workers, drug offenders upon release from jail/prison, or other members of the general public.

2. Provision by public health entities of free naloxone to anyone in the community, including but not limited to provision of intra-nasal naloxone in settings where other options are not available or allowed.

3. Training and education regarding naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, patients taking opioids, families, schools, and other members of the general public.

4. Enable school nurses and other school staff to respond to opioid overdoses, and provide them with naloxone, training, and support.

5. Expand, improve, or develop data tracking software and applications for overdoses/naloxone revivals.

6. Public education relating to emergency responses to overdoses.

7. Public education relating to immunity and Good Samaritan laws.

8. Educate first responders regarding the existence and operation of immunity and Good Samaritan laws.

9. Expand access to testing and treatment for infectious diseases such as HIV and Hepatitis C resulting from intravenous opioid use.

10. Support mobile units that offer or provide referrals to treatment, recovery supports, health care, or other appropriate services to persons that use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

11. Provide training in treatment and recovery strategies to health care providers, students, peer recovery coaches, recovery outreach specialists, or other professionals that provide care to persons who use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction.

12. Support screening for fentanyl in routine clinical toxicology testing.

PART THREE: OTHER STRATEGIES

I. FIRST RESPONDERS

In addition to items C8, D1 through D7, H1, H3, and H8, support the following:

1. Current and future law enforcement expenditures relating to the opioid epidemic.

2. Educate law enforcement or other first responders regarding appropriate practices and precautions when dealing with fentanyl or other drugs.

J. LEADERSHIP, PLANNING AND COORDINATION

Support efforts to provide leadership, planning, and coordination to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Community regional planning to identify goals for reducing harms related to the opioid epidemic, to identify areas and populations with the greatest needs for treatment intervention services, or to support other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

2. A government dashboard to track key opioid-related indicators and supports as identified through collaborative community processes.

3. Invest in infrastructure or staffing at government or not-for-profit agencies to support collaborative, cross-system coordination with the purpose of preventing overprescribing, opioid misuse, or opioid overdoses, treating those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, supporting them in treatment or recovery, connecting them to care, or implementing other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

4. Provide resources to staff government oversight and management of opioid abatement programs.

K. TRAINING

In addition to the training referred to in various items above, support training to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Provide funding for staff training or networking programs and services to improve the capability of government, community, and not-for-profit entities to abate the opioid crisis.

2. Invest in infrastructure and staffing for collaborative cross-system coordination to prevent opioid misuse, prevent overdoses, and treat those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, co-usage, and/or co-addiction, or implement other

strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list (e.g., health care, primary care, pharmacies, PDMPs, etc.).

L. RESEARCH

Support opioid abatement research that may include, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Monitoring, surveillance, and evaluation of programs and strategies described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

2. Research non-opioid treatment of chronic pain.

3. Research on improved service delivery for modalities such as SBIRT that demonstrate promising but mixed results in populations vulnerable to opioid use disorders.

4. Research on innovative supply-side enforcement efforts such as improved detection of mail-based delivery of synthetic opioids.

5. Expanded research on swift/certain/fair models to reduce and deter opioid misuse within criminal justice populations that build upon promising approaches used to address other substances (e.g. Hawaii HOPE and Dakota 24/7).

6. Research on expanded modalities such as prescription methadone that can expand access to MAT.

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Adams County		
	Adams County	0.1638732475%
	Hatton	
	Lind	
	Othello	
	Ritzville	
	Washtucna	
	County Total:	0.1638732475%
Asotin County		
	Asotin County	0.4694498386%
	Asotin	
	Clarkston	
	County Total:	0.4694498386%
Benton County		
	Benton County	1.4848831892%
	Benton City	
	Kennewick	0.5415650564%
	Prosser	
	Richland	0.4756779517%
	West Richland	0.0459360490%
	County Total:	2.5480622463%
Chelan County		
	Chelan County	0.7434914485%
	Cashmere	
	Chelan	
	Entiat	
	Leavenworth	
	Wenatchee	0.2968333494%
	County Total:	1.0403247979%
Clallam County		
	Clallam County	1.3076983401%
	Forks	
	Port Angeles	0.4598370527%
	Sequim	
	County Total:	1.7675353928%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Clark County		
	Clark County	4.5149775326%
	Battle Ground	0.1384729857%
	Camas	0.2691592724%
	La Center	
	Ridgefield	
	Vancouver	1.7306605325%
	Washougal	0.1279328220%
	Woodland***	
	Yacolt	
	County Total:	6.7812031452%
Columbia County		
	Columbia County	0.0561699537%
	Dayton	
	Starbuck	
	County Total:	0.0561699537%
Cowlitz County		
	Cowlitz County	1.7226945990%
	Castle Rock	
	Kalama	
	Kelso	0.1331145270%
	Longview	0.6162736905%
	Woodland***	
	County Total:	2.4720828165%
Douglas County		
	Douglas County	0.3932175175%
	Bridgeport	
	Coulee Dam***	
	East Wenatchee	0.0799810865%
	Mansfield	
	Rock Island	
	Waterville	
	County Total:	0.4731986040%
Ferry County		
	Ferry County	0.1153487994%
	Republic	
	County Total:	0.1153487994%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Franklin County		
	Franklin County	0.3361237144%
	Connell	
	Kahlotus	
	Mesa	
	Pasco	0.4278056066%
	County Total:	0.7639293210%
Garfield County		
	Garfield County	0.0321982209%
	Pomeroy	
	County Total:	0.0321982209%
Grant County		
	Grant County	0.9932572167%
	Coulee City	
	Coulee Dam***	
	Electric City	
	Ephrata	
	George	
	Grand Coulee	
	Hartline	
	Krupp	
	Mattawa	
	Moses Lake	0.2078293909%
	Quincy	
	Royal City	
	Soap Lake	
	Warden	
	Wilson Creek	
	County Total:	1.2010866076%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Grays Harbor County		
	Grays Harbor County	0.9992429138%
	Aberdeen	0.2491525333%
	Cosmopolis	
	Elma	
	Hoquiam	
	McCleary	
	Montesano	
	Oakville	
	Ocean Shores	
	Westport	
	County Total:	1.2483954471%
Island County		
	Island County	0.6820422610%
	Coupeville	
	Langley	
	Oak Harbor	0.2511550431%
	County Total:	0.9331973041%
Jefferson County		
	Jefferson County	0.4417137380%
	Port Townsend	
	County Total:	0.4417137380%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
King County		
	King County	13.9743722662%
	Algona	
	Auburn***	0.2622774917%
	Beaux Arts Village	
	Bellevue	1.1300592573%
	Black Diamond	
	Bothell***	0.1821602716%
	Burien	0.0270962921%
	Carnation	
	Clyde Hill	
	Covington	0.0118134406%
	Des Moines	0.1179764526%
	Duvall	
	Enumclaw***	0.0537768326%
	Federal Way	0.3061452240%
	Hunts Point	
	Issaquah	0.1876240107%
	Kenmore	0.0204441024%
	Kent	0.5377397676%
	Kirkland	0.5453525246%
	Lake Forest Park	0.0525439124%
	Maple Valley	0.0093761587%
	Medina	
	Mercer Island	0.1751797481%
	Milton***	
	Newcastle	0.0033117880%
	Normandy Park	
	North Bend	
	Pacific***	
	Redmond	0.4839486007%
	Renton	0.7652626920%
	Sammamish	0.0224369090%
	SeaTac	0.1481551278%
	Seattle	6.6032403816%
	Shoreline	0.0435834501%
	Skykomish	
	Snoqualmie	0.0649164481%
	Tukwila	0.3032205739%
	Woodinville	0.0185516364%
	Yarrow Point	
	County Total:	26.0505653608%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Kitsap County		
	Kitsap County	2.6294133668%
	Bainbridge Island	0.1364686014%
	Bremerton	0.6193374389%
	Port Orchard	0.1009497162%
	Poulsbo	0.0773748246%
	County Total:	3.5635439479%
Kittitas County		
	Kittitas County	0.3855704683%
	Cle Elum	
	Ellensburg	0.0955824915%
	Kittitas	
	Roslyn	
	South Cle Elum	
	County Total:	0.4811529598%
Klickitat County		
	Klickitat County	0.2211673457%
	Bingen	
	Goldendale	
	White Salmon	
	County Total:	0.2211673457%
Lewis County		
	Lewis County	1.0777377479%
	Centralia	0.1909990353%
	Chehalis	
	Morton	
	Mossyrock	
	Napavine	
	Pe Ell	
	Toledo	
	Vader	
	Winlock	
	County Total:	1.2687367832%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Lincoln County		
	Lincoln County	0.1712669645%
	Almira	
	Creston	
	Davenport	
	Harrington	
	Odessa	
	Reardan	
	Sprague	
	Wilbur	
	County Total:	0.1712669645%
Mason County		
	Mason County	0.8089918012%
	Shelton	0.1239179888%
	County Total:	0.9329097900%
Okanogan County		
	Okanogan County	0.6145043345%
	Brewster	
	Conconully	
	Coulee Dam***	
	Elmer City	
	Nespelem	
	Okanogan	
	Omak	
	Oroville	
	Pateros	
	Riverside	
	Tonasket	
	Twisp	
	Winthrop	
	County Total:	0.6145043345%
Pacific County		
	Pacific County	0.4895416466%
	Ilwaco	
	Long Beach	
	Raymond	
	South Bend	
	County Total:	0.4895416466%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Pend Oreille County		
	Pend Oreille County	0.2566374940%
	Cusick	
	Ione	
	Metaline	
	Metaline Falls	
	Newport	
	County Total:	0.2566374940%
Pierce County		
	Pierce County	7.2310164020%
	Auburn***	0.0628522112%
	Bonney Lake	0.1190773864%
	Buckley	
	Carbonado	
	DuPont	
	Eatonville	
	Edgewood	0.0048016791%
	Enumclaw***	0.0000000000%
	Fife	0.1955185481%
	Fircrest	
	Gig Harbor	0.0859963345%
	Lakewood	0.5253640894%
	Milton***	
	Orting	
	Pacific***	
	Puyallup	0.3845704814%
	Roy	
	Ruston	
	South Prairie	
	Steilacoom	
	Sumner	0.1083157569%
	Tacoma	3.2816374617%
	University Place	0.0353733363%
	Wilkeson	
	County Total:	12.0345236870%
San Juan County		
	San Juan County	0.2101495171%
	Friday Harbor	
	County Total:	0.2101495171%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Skagit County		
	Skagit County	1.0526023961%
	Anacortes	0.1774962906%
	Burlington	0.1146861661%
	Concrete	
	Hamilton	
	La Conner	
	Lyman	
	Mount Vernon	0.2801063665%
	Sedro-Woolley	0.0661146351%
	County Total:	1.6910058544%
Skamania County		
	Skamania County	0.1631931925%
	North Bonneville	
	Stevenson	
	County Total:	0.1631931925%
Snohomish County		
	Snohomish County	6.9054415622%
	Arlington	0.2620524080%
	Bothell***	0.2654558588%
	Brier	
	Darrington	
	Edmonds	0.3058936009%
	Everett	1.9258363241%
	Gold Bar	
	Granite Falls	
	Index	
	Lake Stevens	0.1385202891%
	Lynnwood	0.7704629214%
	Marysville	0.3945067827%
	Mill Creek	0.1227939546%
	Monroe	0.1771621898%
	Mountlake Terrace	0.2108935805%
	Mukilteo	0.2561790702%
	Snohomish	0.0861097964%
	Stanwood	
	Sultan	
	Woodway	
	County Total:	11.8213083387%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Spokane County		
	Spokane County	5.5623859292%
	Airway Heights	
	Cheney	0.1238454349%
	Deer Park	
	Fairfield	
	Latah	
	Liberty Lake	0.0389636519%
	Medical Lake	
	Millwood	
	Rockford	
	Spangle	
	Spokane	3.0872078287%
	Spokane Valley	0.0684217500%
	Waverly	
	County Total:	8.8808245947%
Stevens County		
	Stevens County	0.7479240179%
	Chewelah	
	Colville	
	Kettle Falls	
	Marcus	
	Northport	
	Springdale	
	County Total:	0.7479240179%
Thurston County		
	Thurston County	2.3258492094%
	Bucoda	
	Lacey	0.2348627221%
	Olympia	0.6039423385%
	Rainier	
	Tenino	
	Tumwater	0.2065982350%
	Yelm	
	County Total:	3.3712525050%
Wahkiakum County		
	Wahkiakum County	0.0596582197%
	Cathlamet	
	County Total:	0.0596582197%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Walla Walla County		
	Walla Walla County	0.5543870294%
	College Place	
	Prescott	
	Waitsburg	
	Walla Walla	0.3140768654%
	County Total:	0.8684638948%
Whatcom County		
	Whatcom County	1.3452637306%
	Bellingham	0.8978614577%
	Blaine	
	Everson	
	Ferndale	0.0646101891%
	Lynden	0.0827115612%
	Nooksack	
	Sumas	
	County Total:	2.3904469386%
Whitman County		
	Whitman County	0.2626805837%
	Albion	
	Colfax	
	Colton	
	Endicott	
	Farmington	
	Garfield	
	LaCrosse	
	Lamont	
	Malden	
	Oakesdale	
	Palouse	
	Pullman	0.2214837491%
	Rosalia	
	St. John	
	Tekoa	
	Uniontown	
	County Total:	0.4841643328%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties

EXHIBIT B

County	Local Government	% Allocation
Yakima County		
	Yakima County	1.9388392959%
	Grandview	0.0530606109%
	Granger	
	Harrah	
	Mabton	
	Moxee	
	Naches	
	Selah	
	Sunnyside	0.1213478384%
	Tieton	
	Toppenish	
	Union Gap	
	Wapato	
	Yakima	0.6060410539%
	Zillah	
	County Total:	2.7192887991%

*** - Local Government appears in multiple counties